Barry McGaw



THE CONSPIRACY THEORY REPORT

VOLUME ONE

THE TACHYONIC ANTITELEPHONE The real reason for the Voyager Missions, including the JFK assassination and other influenced events

.

THE CONSPIRACY THEORY REPORT

VOLUME ONE

THE TACHYONIC ANTITELEPHONE

The real reason for the Voyager Missions,
Including: the JFK was assassination
and other “influenced” events

Acknowledgements

For my wife (Petah)

and our three children (Riley, Finn & Charlie)

Always

The Conspiracy Theory Report – Volume One

By Barry McGaw (with contribution by Anonymous)

And self published.

The Conspiracy Report, Morpeth, NSW Australia 2321

Theconspiracyreport1@gmail.com

© 2018 Barry McGaw

All rights reserved. No portion of this book may be reproduced in any form without permission from the publisher, except as permitted by US Copyright Law.

For permissions see theconspiracyreport1@gmail.com

Cover by Barry McGaw

Ebook only

This is the first edition of what will become a periodic publication, identifying and exploring both recently uncovered and historic “conspiracy theories”, in the hope of either debunking or confirming them as fact.

I have been a Factual Investigator over 25 years, investigating thousands of workplace accidents, motor accidents, slips and falls, burglaries, vehicle thefts, arson claims and other assorted insurance matters.

I have interviewed thousands of witnesses and potential witnesses and I have poured over tens of thousands of documents, photographs, CCTV footage, phone records and the like, all in the pursuit of the TRUTH.

For me this represents a career change, in terms of becoming a published author, but on another level it represents more of the same – I am still out there chasing down the facts, verifying and reporting on those facts.

I am contracted, usually by an insurance company or solicitor firm acting on behalf of an insurance company or corporation to conduct a factual investigation into a particular incident or series of incidents, with a view to determining the facts of the matter and compiling those into a "brief of evidence" which can then be relied on by the solicitors and insurance companies for future dealings and / or court proceedings.

It is of paramount importance that my methods are sound, that I act with honesty and integrity and that I am thorough in my inquiries, to ensure the clients have the maximum amount of information (within the financial constraints of my investigation contracts) on which to base their decision and actions.

What I have tried to do is to apply the framework to a specific "conspiracy theory", with a view to providing a similar level of information for my "client" (you – the reader), noting as with my insurance investigations, it is ultimately YOU who makes the decisions (after all you are paying the bills by buying this book).

THE CONSPIRACY THEORY REPORT – VOLUME 1

In this first edition of The Conspiracy Theory Report I wanted to "test" a new theory – given this was the first edition and it was also my first foray into this forum.

I do not have a specialised area of "expertise" in relation to conspiracies or any formal scientific or other "inside" knowledge to point me in any particular direction, but I did have a general "interest" in climate change.

Initially I took to the internet (I know!) – Where I feel it is safe to say that no-one can see the woods for the trees. The medium is alive with conspiracy theories but unfortunately most of them are either seriously out-dated (such as fake moon landings, Roswell / Area 51 and various other "world order" theories) or they are seriously whacky (reptilian overlords and the Illuminati just for starters). This exercise was of little value.

Next I went to the market, I started a simple blog, calling on readers to contact me with any "new" conspiracies and I posted on several public and conspiracy "related" forums.

Initially it was slow progress and the responses varied between nothing and the absolutely absurd (it won't enlighten you to detail any of these responses – believe me).

I was however contacted by one "anonymous" reader, who identified to me via a public forum and later via email that he had a "new" conspiracy which he had tried a couple of years ago to pass onto a blogger in a similar situation to myself but had been rejected. I am a good listener and I also have a good "bullshit detector" – this guy seemed genuine in both his approach and his explanation which he forwarded to me in a highly detailed and well-presented manuscript – with no accompanying documents or explanation.

Following is the manuscript (referred to herein as the "source

document"), which I have re-printed without editing (apart from some re-formating) and I present it to you, after which I will detail my factual analysis.

I will forewarn you it is rather complicated and also quite explosive and while I cannot vouch for the validity of the information presented (as I was not involved) I feel that I have a fair understanding of the concept as explained in the manuscript and I have tried to present the analysis in a "Plain English" format.

So, first a brief introduction of the process, then to the conspiracy manuscript followed by the comment and analysis, please read on!

INTRODUCTION

The Conspiracy Theory Report (TCR) received a lengthy source document (SD), purporting to represent a chronology of a top secret United States Government program, which has effectively been using a version of time travel to alter world events since the early 1960's.

The origin of the SD is not disclosed in the document and the author has advised that they wish to remain "anonymous".

There are however a number of persons and organisations identified in the SD, which will be discussed later.

We present the source document for your perusal, with analysis to follow.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION / SCOPE OF INQUIRIES

The primary source of information in this report is obtained from the manuscript – referred to as the Source Document (Insert 1).

TCR have contacted each of the living persons and organisations named in the SD as being directly involved in the process (noting a number of the persons named are now dead and no approaches have been made to their family or their estate at this time).

TCR have also conducted further internet inquiries in relation to the organisations and events set out in the SD.

At this time we have not widened the scope of our inquiries to include any expert or other analysis or input (this may follow in a later edition).

We note that we have requested the author of the SD to provide any further evidence they have which may validate and / or corroborate the details in the SD (with that contact conducted by email (now deleted) and by public Forums and Blog Posts – so we cannot be sure the author has received the communication) but to date there has been no further reply or supply of further evidence.

We have been unable to identify or locate the author of the SD.

We note that the anonymous author has provided full ownership rights to myself (Barry McGaw) to reproduce and use the Source Document.

SOURCE DOCUMENT (INSERT 1)

.

“the very word ‘secrecy’ is repugnant
in a free and open society”

“things do not happen
things are made to happen”

John F. Kennedy

President of the United States (1961 – 1963)

(from a crocheted wall hanging in my grandfather’s garage)

Foreword

Its about time.

It's about time the world knew the truth - to force the authorities to go public.

What I am about to tell you has been known to (at least some) of my family members for three generations, it has not affected our lives to a significant degree either positively or negatively (my grandfather was a very disciplined man and he was quite clear – we were in possession of very valuable information which could affect not only our lives but the lives of many many others and so we were NOT to misuse it).

I am aware that I am not making much sense, but once you have read this I trust you will understand and I hope you will agree – the world needs and "deserves" to know.

This was one of the few areas where my grandfather and I differed.

He was very much a "company" man, he had signed ironclad confidentiality agreements and for him go back on his word and reveal information covered by those contracts was unthinkable.

This was not something we directly "argued" about – I knew his opinion, I think he had a fair idea of mine but we never actually debated the specific issues – it was his information and so it was his decision.

I am also very aware of the danger involved in the release of this information. This WAS something my grandfather and I discussed, at length and often.

The information I am about to tell you was (previously) known to very few people. Indeed. I am talking literally less than 100 people in the history of the world have (or had) direct knowledge. Many more have had "partial" knowledge and make no mistake there are very large systems in place to enable the process, but in the end only a handful have ever been directly involved in the actual transfer and only the world leaders at the very top echelon have been advised of the actual "source" of the information.

Obviously this means that ANYONE with the full story is at the same time very powerful and extremely vulnerable.

My grandfather's true power lay in the fact that (until now) ONLY his family (and to be truthful only a few of us within the family – grandpa, myself and my brother) knew the full truth and therefore we had the power but not the vulnerability.

My brother and I have discussed at length since our grandfather's passing what to do with the information (which we still have access to) and we have decided that while there is a danger of discovery and an even greater danger we simply won't be believed - our consciences won't allow us to continue on in the knowledge that the world is being manipulated in this manner and seemingly only for the good of a (very) select few.

Enough time has now elapsed that they cannot get back to simply "erase" our information from the record and the mere publication of this record, whether taken seriously or not, evidences that we were correct (the meaning of this statement will become apparent shortly).

So, now the explanation and from there it is over to you, the wider public, to force the admission of the full program's existence from the elite and to force the formulation of a proper process by which EVERYONE can benefit.

Because this is (and I am sure you will agree once you have read this) quite simply the greatest and most important discovery "man" has ever made. It has and it will continue to alter human history and trajectory and it is only fair that everyone has the knowledge and opportunity to share in that discovery.

Thank you

Anonymous

.

What I am about to reveal to you is the truth
(according to my late grandfather).

TIME TRAVEL IS POSSIBLE.

Not only is it possible, it's actually happening on a daily basis.

Right here on Earth.

Right now.

Ever since 1959.

This is NOT fiction, it is based on TRUE events.

PART 1 – who, what, where, when and how

Chapter 1 – WHO? (am I)

First, I want to make this clear, I won't tell you who I am or where I am (for reasons which will be made apparent). I am going to explain the process and the timeline of known "influenced" events since time travel began in 1959, events which have shaped and changed the world and the lives of all humans on Earth and including the assassination of one President and one possible President, as well as the attempted assassinations of two other Presidents (one as a direct warning to that President), the attempted assassination of the Pope and countless other wars, massacres and significant world events (noting not all of the influenced events have been a "negative" result, full nuclear holocaust was averted in 1968 due to PDC and there was a potential ELE in 1989 which would not have been avoided without this technology).

I will tell you that I am a young man who is in no way connected to the actual time travel process or "program" (as I refer to it), but my late grandfather was heavily involved and as a young boy he explained the process to me.

I am not going to identify him and you will not be able to identify him either, I have tried to do so using "Google" search and even knowing his name does not assist in linking him back.

My grandfather worked for a number of public companies and private institutions, including a scientific contract company to ARPA and NASA, as well as other related program companies, in the period from the late 1950's to the early 1990's.

He was involved (in a peripheral manner) in the Pioneer space missions.

His company's involvement is not widely publicised, but it is actually the primary reason for the inception and development of the Voyager space missions – which were planned, developed, launched and they CONTINUE to be utilised for the program (which has no official name).

All of the equipment used in the program is currently in use and in the

public domain, it is just that the full scope of the uses of the equipment is not disclosed to the public (or to the actual operators of the equipment).

I will be identifying a number of organisations that are involved in the process, this is done so that you can do your own searches and verify their existence and their use of the technologies.

I will warn you that the companies themselves, at least the current staff in those companies, will no doubt all deny knowledge of the program.

This is because of one simple fact – they don't know.

How is this possible? - Let me explain.

Chapter 2 – WHAT? (is used and what is time travel)

"What" is used is relatively easy, it's actually just a standard android device with email capability, with the essential difference being that it is connected to a terminal that sends and "bounces" the emails out into space, with the emails received back before they are sent.

I feel that I need to explain to you what precisely "time travel" is.

In the media there is a "perception" of the concept as an abstract notion which is essentially impossible to achieve – but ACTUAL time travel differs from the perception in some very important ways.

To start I will ask you a question – what if I said I could tell you what happened yesterday? "So what?" you say, but what if I said I could tell you happened tomorrow? Interested?

This is the common perception of time travel – involving someone entering a machine which then flashes and shudders and shakes before promptly disappearing (back in time) – very much like Michael J Fox in Back to the Future.

Perhaps a more cerebral rendering is offered by Tom Cruise in Minority Report or Denzel Washington in I?

Never heard of I? The feeling you have been here before? Or the movie where hard-nosed detective (Washington) is introduced to a new investigative "gadget" that allows them to go back in time and solve the crime at the "source".

Okay, but that's going "back in time" you say, the same as The Terminator, using a portal to send actual matter (the terminator machine) decades back through time to hunt down and kill Sarah Connor and then (somewhat unbelievably) a flesh and blood human being, sent back to protect (and impregnate) her.

Again, back in time, but in Terminator 2 it gets a bit more complex

when we discover that it is actually the sending (and dumping) of the initial terminator back in 1984 that provides the catalyst for the scientists at Cyberdyne to make a quantum leap forward that in turn leads to the invention of Skynet, to the machines becoming "self-aware" and initiating nuclear Armageddon to wipe out the humans.

Got all that? Yes but what is the point?

Well, the point is that the machines actually played us. (We) the humans saw a machine travel "back" in time to commit a murder, when in actual fact the machines were using a form of forward time travel, sending a machine back but with the effect of sending an "idea" (the ability for humans to create them) back for us and thereby forward (for them) to an alternate outcome, thus changing the course of "future history".

A closer depiction to actually travelling "forward" in time is seen with Matt Damon in The Adjustment Bureau, where the all-powerful "bureau" is able to plot the main character's future in a notebook and thus predict and "guide" that future to match the depiction in the notebook.

But, in the end, even that depiction is not strictly accurate or totally descriptive of actual time travel. The bureau can predict what "should" happen but they can't "make" it happen and when it doesn't they are powerless to stop it.

Ironically perhaps the best and closest Hollywood depiction to the true FACT of time travel is told by Bill Murray in "Groundhog Day", where our main character is "stuck" in a particular day (groundhog day) which repeats over and over and over again. He soon discovers that he knows what is going to happen (the song on the radio, the ice puddle, the winter prediction …) and so he is able to avoid errors and plan his own advancement within that framework.

A very similar conundrum faces Tom Cruise (again) in the aptly named "Edge of Tomorrow", which I highly recommend, but even this slick sci fi action blockbuster misses the truth. The main character (Cruise) "hijacks" the alien's ability to control time and therefore is able to go back in time again and again so that he can influence what will happen "tomorrow" (i.e. if he doesn't like the outcome, he simply dies and then has a "do over").

In reality it is not possible to send any form of matter (i.e. a person, an animal or a futuristic metallic polymer killing machine … for instance) back or forward in time … BUT it is possible to send a

message back in time (only back in time).

It is the age old conundrum of "hindsight" (… if only we had known that back when we did … whatever we did). Hypothetically, if you were able to send a message back through time via some sort of "time messenger" then you would know, because you are there when it happens, with the ability to message yourself back before it happens and therefore the ability to change (or stop) what is about to happen.

An example could be a catastrophe in your life (say a relative got injured or killed in a car accident), if you were able to get to the time messenger after it happens, you could send yourself a message back BEFORE it happens containing enough information for you to then change the future and avert the accident from happening.

So, in that way you would know what happens in that alternate "tomorrow" with the assistance of the time messenger and you could prevent the catastrophe.

Okay, so you are close to answering the second question "what if I could tell you what happened tomorrow?" (and you see its not strictly "tomorrow" you need to travel to – you are already there – it's the information you gain by being in "tomorrow" that is valuable to you "today").

And this is what the scientists in the early 1900's, including Albert EINSTEIN himself "tip-toed" around, which was then used by some very high powered think tanks in the mid to late 1950's to develop "time travel".

In 1905 Einstein postulated his theory of relativity, which in layman's terms is the theory of a relationship between energy I and matter (m), with the speed of light I as a constant in the equation ($E = mc^2$). Speed (v) is a function of time (t) and distance (d) under the equation ($v = d/t$).

Until that point it was considered that the speed of light (299 792 458 metres per second) was the maximum speed anything in the universe could travel and hence the speed of light is used to measure very long "space" distances.

A light year is the distance light travels in one year (travelling at roughly 300 000 kilometres per second, you can understand that light travels a truly colossal distance each hour / each day / each week and month, let alone how far it travels in a YEAR (roughly 300 000km x 31 500 000 seconds) and to put that in perspective, the moon is a

distance of 384 400km from earth – i.e. taking light just over 1 second to travel that distance, while the sun is 149 600 000km away – i.e. taking around 8 minutes and 20 seconds for light to travel that distance and the distance to the edge of our solar system is around 4 500 000 000km – i.e. taking around 5 "light hours".

Once you leave our solar system the distances get exponentially "longer", with the nearest solar system (star) being 4.3 light YEARS away.

Everything in the Universe is measured by the speed of light, including time and matter (by the application of Einstein's theory of relativity).

Think about it …. When we see something happen we say it is happening "now".

We see something happening because of the light emitted to or from what we are looking at (i.e. if there was no light then we could not see it happening).

Because the speed of light is so fast and (relative to the Universe) we are so small, then everything we "see" appears to us to be "now".

A good example is lightning and thunder.

We "see" the lightning and we "hear" the thunder. Usually there is a gap, often up to several seconds between us seeing the lightning and hearing the thunder – why is this so?

It is because of the vast difference in the speed of the LIGHT emitted from the lightning bolt when compared to the speed of the SOUND emitted from the lightning bolt (the thunder) – both emanating from the same source at the same time.

The light reaches us instantaneously, while the sound can only travel at around 1200km per hour (343m per second) – depending on altitude – and so as a general rule there is a one kilometre gap between your position and the lightning for every three second time period until you hear the thunder (i.e. it takes the sound 3 seconds x 343m to travel one kilometre).

So, basically anything we can see here on Earth is observed in "real time" – what you see is what you get – while what you "hear" may have actually happened some time ago (as in the case of the lightning and the thunder – we see the lightning and therefore we can "predict" the occurrence of the thunder – just not precisely when it will occur).

So, we see the lightning THEN we hear the thunder, with a time lag in between (in other words the lightning is a signal that the thunder is on its way). All PDC is doing is messaging us that the lightning is on the way.

Another example is satellite communication, when overseas reporters are doing a piece live to camera and there is a pause or delay between when the anchor asks a question and when the field reporter hears it and responds (referred to as "latency"). This is (in part) due to the time taken for the transmission to travel out to the satellite and then "bounce" back to Earth.

It is the same (in reverse) with time travel (as it actually occurs – not the Hollywood version) – because the specific particles are able to be accelerated "past" the speed of light they can actually travel out faster than our "real time" here on Earth, then they "bounce" off the Voyager 2 - and now triangulated from Voyager 2 to Voyager 1 and back to Earth, thereby increasing the distance and "bounce" of the "back in time communication" (BTC), later changed to "pre-event communication" (PEC) and finally to its current acronym "pre-event digital communication" (PDC) – so that by the time the PDC returns to Earth it arrives approximately 1 day BEFORE it left (i.e. it takes an entire day for the thunder to catch up with the lightning).

Because this is occurring in the zero gravity environment of deep space there is no "deceleration" and in fact the particles carrying the message continue to "accelerate" throughout the journey – hence the ever increasing time "lag".

And so, in that time "gap" the receivers of that information have sufficient time to "alter" events BEFORE the "thunder" arrives and once achieved then the "record" is amended permanently and time moves forward until the next "event", all done without our knowledge – because what we see is what we believe.

I have probably gotten a bit ahead of myself (pardon the pun) on the explanation, which will follow.

In our lives we call the relationship between the lightning and the thunder "living" (it actually formed one of Newton's famous laws – every action has an equal and opposite reaction) – as in: we make decisions, these have consequences, sometimes these are good and sometimes these are bad and every one of us have made countless decisions which we later regretted once the "thunder" (consequences) arrived.

Time travel renders that obsolete, at least for a VERY small elite.

Because, they can simply send a message via PDC, that message is received and transmitted to them up to one day earlier (small note – there is an experimental method whereby the message can be further accelerated, but with less accuracy, thereby achieving a much higher "bounce" but the trajectory margin is much wider and there is a very real chance of the message not being received), providing them with enough time to make alterations and avoid adverse consequences.

Hang on – but I would know about this (?) – I would know that I had a "do over" and last Tuesday repeated itself – all because some rich snot-nose got a bad algebra result and his entrance to an ivy league college required a good one so he had daddy "fix it" for him?

Well, sorry, but no you wouldn't (and don't) know about it – none of us do – because of the simple fact that none of us are travelling back in time – only the information is travelling back in time and that information is only known to the sender and the receiver (which is the same person).

There may be others affected by the alteration (of the rich snot-nose suddenly jumping from a C to an A result) but it is unlikely. We can however all imagine other "alterations" (such as rich snot-nose driving off a bridge while drunk then his family using PDC to extricate him from the vehicle – but perhaps leaving any passengers in the vehicle to die, as an "example" only) which can and will have larger and longer lasting consequences for snot-nose and others.

It is commonly called the "butterfly effect", but again it is impossible to quantify because there is only ONE history to refer to and while-ever this program remains a secret that paradigm will continue.

So, now I am hoping you have an understanding of what "real" time travel is – it is the ability for someone to send information from themselves NOW back to themselves at an EARLIER point in time, thereby allowing them to alter the events in the period from THEN "back" to NOW (between when the message was sent and received).

It is very similar to an ordinary message sent today.

Currently we use text messages, facebook, 1nstagram etc to contact our family and friends. We tell them where we are, where we are going to be and what we are going to do etc.

Until very recently (in world terms) it was simply not possible to

contact anyone outside of your actual eyesight or earshot and provide them with “real-time” information – the invention of the telegraph, then the telephone and more recently the internet and satellite communications within the last 200 years have meant that we can now contact people anywhere on the planet and tell them exactly what is happening to us right now.

In effect, compared to the early 1800’s “information” is able to travel much faster – PDC simply takes that to another level – pushing the information beyond the perceived “impenetrable” barrier of the speed of light and BACK IN TIME.

Small notation: my grandfather did not “invent” time travel and those who did were not even aware at the time or following that time that my grandfather was aware of their invention, but he WAS smart enough to realise before them the possible implications and value of the invention and he was able to put in place a failsafe process for monitoring and using the program for his own purposes (although I do want to make it quite clear that we NEVER used this information to further our own financial interests and only on very rare occasions was it used for other – such as health related – purposes, as my grandfather was very concerned about being discovered and with good reason).

You see, because of the age of the program and the need for the utmost secrecy of the program, it was initially designed with almost no coding or encryption or “cyber security” and so when it was upgraded my grandfather’s “back door” to the Event Log remained un-discovered.

Obviously I expect that the publication of this record will terminate our access to the program (although at the time of writing it had not …. So fingers crossed!)

Before we move forward I will explain some of the common terms used within the program. You have already heard me refer to “PDC” – pre-event digital communication, also “FTL” – faster than light, “the program” – is the FTL Program (used to deliver the PDC), the “end zone” is the maximum time lag achievable following an adverse event (i.e. the maximum time after the event which the authorities have in order to send a message back in time with enough time to alter that event), “the target” or “the target skin” or “the first skin” – which refers to the un-altered initial (adverse) event which the authorities decide requires alteration using PDC and “full recovery” or “total recovery” refers to the ability for PDC to go back before the adverse

event, to eradicate all traces of that event and to then allow events to continue as if that event had never occurred (anything less than this is termed a “partial recovery” – noting that most of the events I will describe below are partial recoveries).

It is also a fact that the communications between the monitoring agencies and the program operated (and still do, although now using automated technology and methods) on a “double-blind” basis, meaning that the personnel in the monitoring agency do NOT know the intended purpose of their monitoring, all they know is their information is supplied for government purposes (and noting that if used then the events will be altered and hence they will have no recollection of the events).

Even the technicians within the program who input and retrieve the actual information do not know the power or purpose of the information. Again all they know is what is spat out of the computer, which for decades has been encrypted and is now fully automated (i.e. the only “human eyes” on the information are the ultimate end users – who do know the purpose and value of the information).

My grandfather, while not being one of the “end users” and therefore not being one of those privy to all of the information, was there from (almost) the inception of the program, at a time when its full power was not recognised or accounted for and it was also felt that after a period of “experimentation” the program would be unveiled for the public and used for that purpose – man were they wrong!

Chapter 3 – WHEN was it developed ("the spark")?

The "spark" or essential discovery (if I have the explanation correct) was first successfully demonstrated in 1959 during an unrelated medical experiment, it was then picked up and used by the scientists to send a stream of data ahead of the light, at a speed slightly faster than light and accelerating in the zero gravity environment of the particle accelerator, to be received back to the source at a time ever so slightly "ahead" of when it should have been received (thus "back" in time).

This was completed at either the University of Rochester or Columbia University in 1959 (the reason the exact location is not known is that it was never recorded in any official papers – it was the unexpected result that was reported then replicated – so the initial discovery - which in the fullness of time will be recognised as one of the greatest human discoveries in history - was essentially "superseded" and the medical researchers – if they are still alive – most likely never knew what they had discovered – although I would invite any of the researchers or their family members who may be familiar with their notes to make their involvement known, so they can at least verify the initial findings).

The researchers were conducting tests on sub-atomic particles in the Synchro-cyclotron - a Synchro-cyclotron is a high powered particle accelerator, first developed on the late 1940's, as an "upgrade" on earlier cyclotrons. For the uninitiated (and this included me until my grandfather explained it to me) a "particle accelerator" is a giant circular vacuum tube. A permanent vacuum is maintained within the tube, meaning there are NO particles inside the tube. The scientists then introduce a beam of particles, usually protons, electrons or neutrons (i.e. the basic "building blocks" of the atom) into the circular vacuum tube. The beam is "accelerated" using electromagnets (which in layman's terms use magnetic fields to "push" the particles away – in the same way similar magnet ends will repel each other), with the electromagnets positioned around the cyclotron, to increase the

acceleration force on the particle.

Because there is a vacuum in the tube the particles are able to be accelerated almost to the speed of light (a situation not possible in the normal atmosphere due to the presence of air and other particles which "rub" against the beam as it passes, causing friction and reducing the rate of acceleration and the faster it gets the hotter it gets, with the energy lost via the heat reducing the ability of the particle to ever reach the speed of light). The only other place this is possible is in space.

The accelerated particles are then able to be directed and studied for many purposes. Often the accelerators introduce two beams then "collide" the beams to produce sub-atomic particles (as well as other radiation products), including photons and it was these sub-atomic particles which the medical researchers had isolated and were working on in the Synchro-cyclotron in early 1959.

The actual names of the researchers is not known, as there were continuous similar experiments being conducted in the Synchro-cyclotron during those months, their research was not related in any way to time travel (or any military application) and it was not in any way associated with JASON or any defence organisation, however even back then the "powers that be" had a habit of reviewing the experiment results – sort of like "fishing", in part to simply "snoop" on personnel but also in the hope of discovering new avenues of inquiry and / or "cheap" corroboration of their own studies.

In this case the researchers noted that an annoying by-product of their "collisions" under certain very specific (but repeatable) circumstances was that the sub-atomic particles created a halo effect, possibly indicating they were travelling ahead of the beam (i.e. faster than the beam). It is my understanding they did no further testing to define the level of "effect", but given the nature of the experiment they were "reviewed" by ONR, the parameters of the experiment were noted as unusual by JASON and the "effect" was determined to be significant and worthy of further investigation.

It was when the experiment was re-created by personnel from Lincoln Laboratories using a separate synchro-cyclotron that the time "gain" was quantified, as a function of time and distance – meaning the longer the distance over which the "halo" was extended the further it separated from the particles, meaning that it was accelerating away from – and ahead of – the particles. This was able to be repeated consistently, as long as the specific parameters "stolen" from the un-

named medical researchers were strictly followed.

The effect (which did not have a name, an official report or a “program” at that stage) was reported verbally to a select group of scientists at the first JASON meeting in the summer of 1959 and quickly came to the attention of ONR and other defence personnel, at which time it was promptly classified “TOP SECRET” and effectively weaponised.

It was demonstrated that it is possible, under the right conditions, to accelerate sub-atomic particles past the speed of light (referred to as “faster than light” or FTL).

This in itself is not time travel and if the experiment is conducted in a linear direction (i.e. in the one direction only) then it has no application to time travel.

The experiment is only useful to time travel when the particles are either “spun” in a circular motion or “bounced” back to the source.

In that way the particle can actually be made to travel the distance faster than the light emitted from the source point and therefore when the particle arrived back at the source point it has actually arrived back there FASTER than the light emitted and therefore BEFORE “now”.

The discovery initially appeared to have no practical application and the amount of “time” saved by sending the data ahead of the light was so minimal it was almost impossible to detect.

The problem, recognised by the scientists as early as 1960, was that speed is a function of time and distance and there simply was not enough distance possible in an earth-bound particle accelerator to alter time in any productive manner, no matter how many times you spun the data around and around the circular machine.

What was needed was the vast open (zero gravity) tracts of space, where the “slightly” increased speed of the data could be stretched over a truly impressive distance and thus creating a measurable time contraction.

In layman's terms, they needed a machine that could accelerate the data past light speed out into space and when it was far enough out “bounce” it back to earth, with it arriving back here enough time before it was sent to make it useful - thus becoming a “time messenger”.

Obviously, the more distance the more time "saved", because as I have already stated, deep space is an environment of zero gravity – the same as the environment inside the particle accelerators – and so there is no deceleration of the faster than light (FTL) particles, once they are set on a course of acceleration past the speed of light (c) in space they simply keep accelerating (and accelerating and accelerating …. thereby increasing the distance they cover in any given time period and thereby travelling further back in time).

My grandfather explained that some years into the program certain variations in the time "bounce" were observed which were not readily explained by any other variable in the process, leading to the theory that as particles travel near (either above or below) the speed of light they are prone to "time dilation", which the scientists have over time been able to exploit to gain even more "bounce" (I will go more into this later – as it was developed to combat a particularly "large" problem which arose in 1989 and fortunately for all of us they were able to get it to work – at least one time), but as a general rule they are only able to send a message back around 24 hours.

Therefore, there is a very finite "expiry date" for the information. The problem back in 1960 was there was nothing out there for the scientists to aim at to bounce the signal off.

That was until the development of man-made satellites, earth bound "orbiting" space craft, missiles and eventually to the Pioneer space program and then more successfully in the Voyager space program in the 1970's.

My grandfather was involved in the Pioneer and then the Voyager space programs, which was planned, developed and funded SOLELY for the purpose of achieving "useful" time travel.

The Pioneer Program commenced in the 1950's, before the advent of FTL, however later missions were adapted to extend the distances and therefore the amount of "bounce" achievable for the time message.

As I say, the Voyager Missions were dedicated FTL projects, however this information was only known within the highest echelons of the United States Government, the Pentagon and within the initial FTL Program itself.

Voyager 2 was launched on 20 August 1977 from Cape Canaveral, Florida following more than ten years of planning, initially commenced back in 1964, under the guise of the "Grand Tour" program advocated by then President Lyndon B. JOHNSON (who

initially came to office in November 1963 – following the assassination of JFK).

Voyager 1 was launched (from the same site) on 5 September 1977, as part of the same program.

Once launched the FTL Program has essentially ceased to exist, as the program is “self-piloting” – the space craft (Voyager 1 and Voyager 2) are on track, the messages sent to the space craft are done via computer, as are the messages received back.

The information itself (i.e. the messages sent via FTL) is sent in code and nowadays the entire system is automated, in a very similar way to the complex algorithms devised by tech giants Facebook, Google, Apple, Amazon and others, to monitor our movements and “plant” information in our heads through digital messaging, thereby meaning that NO-ONE is currently involved in the oversight of the program, it is all done by computers, hence the reason why my grandfather’s “Trojan” into the program has remained undetected (to date).

Chapter 4 – WHERE? (LLNL, Lincoln Labs & JASON)

The driving force which took this project from a laboratory experiment to a functioning “program” was the JASON Advisory Group (initially Project 137), with the initial (post- discovery) postulates and experiments taking place initially at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (for preparatory work only) and then more thoroughly at the Lincoln Laboratories at MIT.

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (as it is now known – in 1960 it was the University of California Radiation Laboratory at Livermore) was established in 1952 in response to the developing Cold War and as competition for the Los Alamos laboratory in New Mexico (home of the Manhattan Project - overseen by Robert OPPENHEIMER). LLNL’s co-founders, Ernest LAWRENCE and Edward TELLER were highly involved in the development of cyclotron and nuclear technology.

Lincoln Laboratory was established by United States defence representatives (from Army, Navy and Air Force) in 1951, initially under the name Project Lincoln, for the purpose of addressing and combating the Soviet nuclear threat. The threat from the Soviets increased exponentially following the launch of the Sputnik space craft in 1957 and so the American efforts were increased accordingly.

President Dwight EISENHOWER made a direct plea to the countries' scientists to expand the nation's space capabilities to match and exceed those of the USSR (the “nemesis” of the US at that time and continuing for decades into the future). I am too young to understand the gravity of the threat or have it “imprinted” on my soul, but suffice it to say that the phrase “the Russians are coming” was a very real threat – equal to the recent threats posed by ISIS.

There is a school of thought that the Cold War in the 1950’s was

exaggerated by identities and organisations, including Reinhard GEHLEN – a former WW2 (German) General who founded the Gehlen Organisation with CIA support and sponsorship in the 1940's and then went on to "sub-contract" the Eastern Block spying network from the CIA through into the 1950's. Much of the United States' brain-storming and policy development in this period was based on the work of Gehlen's spies, in particular the notion that the Soviet Union were significantly ahead of the United States, in terms of technology acquisition and development (from the Nazis) across a wide range of disciplines including communications, weaponry, military intelligence and the "space race". Obviously this notion was amplified followed the Sputnik launch, at a time when the US was mired in a sea of inaction.

In the modern world we look upon the United States as the pre-eminent "Super Power" and that is true (today), but back in period 1955 - 1959 there was a fair degree of illusion to that notion. WW2 was run and won and even the threat of "the bomb" was diminishing, in part due to the success of the allies in WW2, in so comprehensively defeating the Axis Powers (Germany, Japan & Italy) but also due to the perceived "inevitable" rise of Communism, personified in the Soviet Union and closer to home in Cuba, which in the 1950's was officially a "socialist" run country. It did not officially become the Communist Party of Cuba until 1965, but the authorities knew the political "leanings" of the ruling party in Cuba and they also knew the threat this posed, both as an actual physical threat (given Cuba lies only 100 miles to the south of Florida) and also in the minds of the people (with the rise of "McCarthyism" and the Second Red Scare).

Senator Joseph McCARTHY succeeded in raising the consciousness of the American people to the threats posed by communism in general and the possibility of communists in the community (and worse still the likelihood of communist infiltrators in positions of power in the US), but it was up to the authorities, in particular the military and intelligence agencies to re-assert the dominance of the US over the Soviet Union, in perception and in practice (without going to war).

The CIA in particular were desperate to establish superiority – in a multi-pronged effort - over their KGB counterparts in Europe, over their pro-dictatorship Central and South American neighbours and over a number of socialist and communist regimes in Asia.

They attempted to do this by various means, with some success (mainly in terms of assisting in military coups in nations such as Iran, Guatemala, Haiti and the Dominican Republic – to name but a few),

but also with some famous and celebrated failures, including Laos (more than once), Cambodia, Vietnam and Cuba.

It soon became apparent that the United States were simply not going to be able to "bully" or "out-flank" each and every opponent world-wide all of the time – the sheer number of projects was too numerous and compounding this were the failures, which inevitably led to "clusters" of time sensitive problems required immediate and decisive attention (lest the agencies' involvement be discovered and decried around the world).

They needed an "edge" and this is where the JASON Advisory Group (and other similar state sponsored organisations) came into play. Initially named Project 137 in 1958, it morphed into JASON, which is described as follows on Wikipedia:

JASON is an independent group of elite scientists which advises the United States government on matters of science and technology, mostly of a sensitive nature. The group was first created as a way to get a younger generation of scientists—that is, not the older Los Alamos and MIT Radiation Laboratory alumni—involved in advising the government. It was established in 1960 and has somewhere between 30 and 60 members. Its work first gained public notoriety as the source of the Vietnam War's McNamara Line electronic barrier. Although most of its research is military-focused, JASON also produced early work on the science of global warming and acid rain.[1] Current unclassified research interests include health informatics, cyber warfare, and renewable energy.

Jason was (at that time) funded by the Department of Defence's A.R.P.A. (Advanced Research Projects Agency). It was first established under the name "Project 137" in 1958, it was expanded in 1959 and became a permanent institution in 1960 – by which time it had already "discovered" time travel and the postulate was passed on to Lincoln Laboratories with strict confidentiality protocols in place. At Lincoln they were able to re-create the initial experiment outcomes at Rochester / Columbia the year before (see below) and the entire project promptly "disappeared" into the bowels of the Pentagon (within ARPA).

The majority of Project 137 / JASON's studies and discussions remain highly classified and most of the participants are no longer with us. Like most of their studies, there was NO official reporting back to the Jason Advisory Group in relation to FTL, due to the highly confidential nature of those studies.

The normal process was that the multi-disciplinary scientists conducted experiments across a wide range of scientific subjects – for example biological warfare, space travel, the likelihood of alien life-forms and missile defence systems – then report back to the group at large or to specific levels within the group, dependent on the level of confidentiality of the study conducted, overseen by defence and other government intelligence personnel.

My grandfather was not involved in this initial process, but he was introduced to the program shortly after it was handed over from JASON, through LLNL to Lincoln Laboratories for further investigation to properly establish the parameters of the time "gain" and to develop usages of the process.

There were a number of prominent scientists involved in these organisations and scientific deliberations, my grandfather is NOT one of them but he did work with a few and he heard the names of others mentioned in connection with the program.

One scientist he named was Edward TELLER (one of the co-founders of LLNL) had a long history of involvement in the development of nuclear fission and fusion weapons, also association with the inventor of the first cyclotron (the pre-curser to the synchro-cyclotron) Ernest LAWRENCE.

Another scientist integral to the process as an "unwitting participant" was Freeman John DYSON, whose initial work on "Project Orion" – a late 1950's study to explore the possible propulsion of rockets into space using "nuclear pulse propulsion".

Another was John Archibald WHEELER, who was one of the founding members of JASON, an eminent Theoretical Physicist who earlier in life had collaborated with Einstein and Niels BOHR and had coined the terms "black hole" and "wormhole", now in common use and understanding worldwide. Wheeler dedicated the latter half of his career to the study of general relativity and "space-time", following years of work on the hydrogen bomb.

All of these men were involved in JASON, although I don't personally know if they had any direct involvement in (or knowledge of) the FTL Program, due to the fact their involvement (if any) occurred prior to my grandfather's involvement.

As stated, my grandfather did not work directly with Teller, Dyson or Wheeler and he certainly was not a "public" figure in the way they were, but it was the theoretical work of these men (and others) that

was then passed to men like my grandfather to put into practice – which they were able to, as detailed below.

Chapter 5 – HOW (is time travel accomplished)?

The “spark” (which ignited time travel) was the initial discovery that man could accelerate energy ahead of light, using sub-atomic particles in the Synchro-cyclotron located at either the University of Rochester or Columbia University.

Note: As stated, I am not sure on the exact location of the first demonstrated instances of time travel, due to the fact it was not recorded as time travel at the time (it was an annoying and unwanted by-product of a medical experiment and I note it was an experiment which was PUBLICLY replicated by scientists at CERN and LNGS in 2011 – see below).

I should also say there are limitations to the program, it’s not simply a matter of sitting at a screen and “dialling up” a date you want to send the message to, but the program does currently allow a time “pre-lag” or “end zone” of around 24 hours (but with some variation and increasing over time).

What this means is that the console controller (initially this was an actual human being who sat at a terminal and relayed the information un-coded, without knowledge that the information he was relaying was actually going back in time (or coming from “the future” – in the case of the receiving console operator – nowadays it is a largely automated and encrypted system) is able to send a message that is received back to (a different) console around 24 hours before it was sent (by the time the message travels out and “bounces” back).

Technically the message is able to be sent to anyone, but anyone else besides someone in the program has no idea it was sent from the future (just as the sender has no idea he/she is sending the encrypted information back in time) and therefore if they inadvertently receive the message they don't know the value of the information contained in the message.

When the message is sent to a dedicated location set up to receive that

information there is an immediate alert and the message contents are actioned IMMEDIATELY (given there is only 24 hours to take action before time “catches up”).

The kicker is that the people (who were) monitoring the sending and receiving consoles have NO IDEA of the value of the information they are sending. It is sent in code and it’s as simple as typing and sending an email. The console operator simply receives the instructions, attaches the file and sends it. The operator at the other end simply receives it and immediately forwards it on.

Now, you will need to also look a little wider than the actual message transmission in order to properly understand the scope and the nature of the program.

For optimal operation the PDC program relies on effective communication and there are a number of aspects to its “effectiveness”.

Firstly there is the timeliness of the communication. In today’s world communication IS “the name of the game” – we are bombarded with instantaneous “communication” 24 hours a day / seven days a week, often from un-verified and highly questionable sources.

The sheer content or “volume” of communication also poses a question, to the point where “saturation” becomes a live issue in itself (as you will see below, there have been a number of human disasters which were simply “lost” by the computers analysing the information feed simply because they “couldn’t see the woods for the trees”).

In 1961 when the program was initially launched it was entirely the opposite. This was a world pre satellite communication and pre internet. Getting the news from “the other side of the world” took time which worked directly against PDC - reliant on getting the news of the disaster within the critical “time lag” (as explained, in order to be of any use the message had to travel back “before” the event which the authorities were attempting to influence – the longer they delayed sending the message after the event resulted in less time between when it was received and the event occurring – therefore a reduction in time for the event to be altered or stopped).

In 1961, while they were still triangulating the message on Earth this was very little time indeed, hence the “hesitation” in the initial influenced events (see below), but as technological advances have been made and particularly with the transfer to deep space messaging using Voyager 1 & Voyager 2 the “time lag” (or “end zone” as it is

referred to within the program) is extended, though the efficient supply of the information after the “event” (referred to as “the target” or “target skin” within the program) is still just as critical, a fact which was never more evident that in 1989 (see below).

The authorities in 1961 solved this problem in a simple but effective manner, they simply did not consider using PDC for any targets bar matters of strategic military and / or intelligence importance. This reduced the need for a vast volume of information and it also meant that most, if not all, of the relevant information pertinent to that event was already known and hence the time delay in gathering information on the event was also overcome.

As a result of the policy (to exclusively use the program for military or intelligence targets) there were NO usages of PDC on humanitarian grounds during the 1960’s or most of the 1970’s, in fact the first usage of the program for a humanitarian incident was the Three Mile Island nuclear incident in 1979, under the presidency of Jimmy Carter. Ironically PDC was only partially effective on that night and the President effectively “shelved” the usage of the program for such targets until the end of his presidency.

This is somewhat understandable, as prior to the launch of the Voyager spacecraft (in August & September 1977) the “end zone” was very tight and so it was almost impossible to alter any events using PDC unless you were specifically looking for those events and therefore the message to alter the event could be sent back within a very short time after the event (hence providing enough time to alter the event).

During the 1980’s the program expanded, military and intelligence events were still the priority, but other events including humanitarian events were considered, although not as a general rule responded to – until 1989. My grandfather was edging towards retirement at this time and he was genuinely rattled (see below), so my knowledge of the decision-making process only extends to this point in time.

I can say that the program progressed further in the 1990’s, at a time when world shattering events often displayed both military / intelligence AND humanitarian consequences and considerations – with the advent of the international terrorist.

The rise of the internet in the late 1990’s saw the program go automated and it has remained that way to date.

Another issue with respect to the usage of the program for

“incidental” humanitarian targets (i.e. target events which are discovered by the program as a result of news / other reporting) as opposed to the usage for military and intelligence targets (some of which are “designed targets” – as in the authorities will request an end zone to create a target, rather than using the program as a “reactive” instrument, and they will then plan their movements around that time frame and once everything is in place then the message is sent and the future is altered) is that the level of information available on the humanitarian target is simply not available within the finite end zone.

What I mean by this is that news will come of a possible target “event” (for example a plane crash - which seems simple enough – objective: send a message back to stop the plane from crashing).

This is where it gets complex, a vast volume of information is actually needed in order to be able to relay an “effective” (in terms of timeliness AND content) message, so that it arrives at the correct point in the necessary time frame in order to alter the “path” of the plane. Using this example it would not simply be enough to know the plane crashed, it would also be necessary to know more about how and why it crashed (i.e. will it be enough to simply divert the plane to another runway or airport or is the cause of the disaster related to the plane itself? – therefore requiring the message be sent back before the plane is cleared for take-off, to avert that from happening? Or perhaps it’s the pilot? (As in the case of the recent Malaysia MH370) or is it the ever-present threat of terrorism? Thereby requiring not only the plane and crew to be taken out of service, but also the passengers).

There are examples of these problems detailed below in real-life situations (Bay of Pigs and PAL434), where these issues were overcome but with only partial success.

This was a significant factor early in the life of the program, I cannot speak for current planning, only in relation to the current length of the “end zone”, and it has meant that many many humanitarian “targets” were simply not able to be considered for PDC because the authorities “didn’t know where to start” on fixing the problem (and again I refer to 1989 – when the authorities were forced to make multiple attempts in order to avert a significant disaster, but by doing so it appears they “caused” other events to occur, with their resultant negative consequences – ironically similar to Newtons “every action has an equal and opposite reaction” Law).

So having said all that I think you would agree that the “how” is relatively simple but complex, both at the same time.

Simple, in terms of the sending and receiving of a finite message, travelling from and to the same point, but at the same time complex in terms of the selection of the message subject and even more complex after the PDC (i.e. before the event), in determining how the information is able to be used to PROPERLY alter the event.

By “properly” I am referring to the ability to alter the adverse event, while NOT adversely affecting other events.

This was a topic my grandfather debated endlessly – how “should” the program be used, to benefit humankind while not detrimenting the individual?

Which leads to Part 2 – Why?

PART 2 - … and why?

Chapter 6 – The initial reason

Why seek to solve the mystery of time travel?

I can't answer for the men who initially set out, I assume it was as simple as "because it is there" – as in, they had serendipitously discovered they could accelerate these particles faster than light (they were conducting medical experiments involving "colliding" the particles) once they discovered that under certain conditions these particular sub-atomic particles could be accelerated past what they previously figured was an impermeable boundary (the speed of light) and so in the same way any scientist worth his salt would do - they simply figured "what more can we do with this?"

Initially described as an un-intended "by-product" of the experiment, the discovery was noted but not fully explored by the medical researchers who were conducting noble research in their own right (and to be honest since we don't know who or specifically where the research was being conducted we don't know the full outcome of their initial research – it is likely that it was simply an experiment designed to evidence the findings of a previous experiment, but we will never know).

What is known is that once the experiment "by-products" came to the notice of the Office of Naval Research (ONR – the same institution responsible for the Philadelphia Experiment) it was immediately classified.

It may appear obvious (now), but to the scientists back in 1959 and for many of those who followed in the early years, it was not so obvious – why would we develop the ability to send information back in time?

The world now is a vastly different to the world in the 1950's and the world those men (and it was almost exclusively a male domain at that time) had grown up in – a world that had no internet, no nuclear threat (yet), no full blown cold war (remember still, in WW2 USSR

were an ally), very little in the way of “global cultural development”, Science Fiction was in its infancy, humans simply didn’t think that far “out of the box”.

We hadn’t landed on the moon, we hadn’t mapped the human genome and we hadn’t invented Artificial Intelligence – but a few recent advancements. Anti-establishment style “creative thought” to the extent we know it now, with our endless conspiracy theories, You Tube pranks etc simply wasn’t “thought of”.

When my grandfather sat me down and explained this all to me at length I was able to grasp the notion, I was able to believe the chronology and I am fully convinced by the evidence as presented to me, what took the longest to accept was the idea that when the scientists had “by accident” discovered they could accelerate particles faster than light – even given they were atomic sized particles and the degree of acceleration past the speed of light was infinitesimally small – they simply wrote it off as a “by-product”.

John F. KENNEDY was the first to see the true potential and in the end it was this knowledge that got him killed. Forget the Warren Commission findings, forget the “magic bullet”, forget Jack RUBY (no actually don’t forget Jack Ruby – because he’s actually the only “real” clue in the mess), JFK was assassinated because he had seen the folly of concealment of the program, which I will get to presently. You see, I think JFK was right, I think the world “deserves” this device, I also think that the preservation of the knowledge and usage of the process (FTL) in the hands of a very select few results in the process being eternally restrained below that which it could achieve. My grandfather was quite defensive on this point (after all, he was there from the inception of the “program” – as opposed to the initial scientific discovery – he was not a decision maker, but he observed the development of FTL).

In hindsight he agrees that a number of mistakes were made in the development, but interestingly he did not agree with JFK – he did not consider humankind could be benefited to the extent I feel it can (and will) by the mass release of FTL, as he felt (with his early 20th Century “small world” sensibilities) that the process simply could not be made to cope with “everyone knowing”.

He might prove to be correct – he felt that to do as JFK had suggested (and release) would have resulted in “chaos” – with the majority seeking to benefit only them and theirs, by continually sending messages back in time to themselves to alter every single tiny mistake

in their daily existence – oblivious (or callous) to the fact that doing so meant that there was a continual "re-set" on the day and this may (and certain events – see 1989 below – seem to bear that out) have larger ramifications – i.e. the "butterfly effect" explained above.

I was only quite young in 1989, but the events in that year shook my grandfather to his core and he was adamant thereafter that the process should remain secret. Ironically – with the recent change of POTUS it appears that FTL is either no longer in use or it has gone so far "underground" that there has been a disconnect between the personnel who know about it and the people who were in positions to carry it out.

As far as I can determine from external monitoring there has not been one single usage of FTL since late 2016, apart from what appear to be testing and occasional training exercises. Initially I felt this was good. I, like many, was quite concerned about the election of Donald TRUMP to the most powerful position on the planet – and my concerns were well founded, given I knew more than almost everyone else the full extent of the power he was being given access to.

I expected an all-out barrage of messages and "do overs" (similar, I must say to the way the records indicate the Kennedy's began usage of the process in the early 1960's – particularly following the death of JFK) but no – nothing, not one usage. I am not a White House insider but given Trump is now well into his presidency and there have been a number of world events which would have previously instigated the usage of FTL (and many would say an INCREASING number of such events) I am drawn towards the conclusion that FTL has been deliberately withheld from POTUS and quite likely the personnel who previously monitored and oversaw the process are no longer in government service.

There also appears to be an increasingly "aggressive" stance taken by opposing world leaders – Vladimir PUTIN and Kim JONG-UN, but also Xi JINPING, who is slowly increasing China's influence in the region and has recently changed party doctrine to end the two term rule.

I am becoming increasingly concerned that the technology (either the knowledge or the program itself) have been provided to "the enemy" and they are now using the program through a medium that I am no longer able to monitor. This prospect (of "not knowing" and not having the United States "standing guard") concerns me far more than knowing.

It is what has finally "tipped the balance" and motivated me to

publish. Note I had attempted two years ago to have this manuscript published but the agent I approached would not touch it and I got spooked. I was concerned with simply releasing the information onto the internet (as it would not be taken seriously) and I also reasoned at the time (in 2015) that there was only a short time until the release of the final details on the JFK Assassination (last year) and I thought that HAD TO include reference to the program (or least the possibility of the existence of the program) – but it didn't, with some of the documents held back from publication (still).

This I feel is my last chance and so I have released the manuscript through an anonymous trial, first so it can't be traced back to me and my family but also so that others can evaluate the information, with the hope that it will receive serious and proper consideration, rather than simply be dismissed as another "internet conspiracy theory" – which is no doubt how I expect those "in the know" will attempt to frame the response.

Chapter 7 – The development of the program

I have explained what PDC (or FTL) is, how it was discovered, how it is used and how it is administered in relation to the decision making. These are aspects which were known to my grandfather in his peripheral link to the program, from inception.

What was less known to my grandfather were the processes and systems in place for the use of the information generated by PDC after it had been passed to authorities outside of the program and following that, how those same authorities then devised and carried out "missions" and strategies reliant on the use of PDC (i.e. once the program had proved its worth, particularly as a "secret weapon" against the USSR in the Cuban Missile Crisis and then furthermore in assisting various "unwitting" world leaders, including Joseph MOBUTU, during the late 1960's and early 1970's – see below).

It was apparent to my grandfather and his associates that "everything in the world was not always as it seemed". The event log coincides with too many military and intelligence events (many of which are largely undocumented outside of the military and intelligence agencies) for it NOT to have been involved.

My grandfather never divulged to me any of the names of people he knew who were outside of the program but had knowledge of the program (i.e. members of the military and intelligence community "in the know"). In fact, he never even mentioned that he knew the identity or the existence of any of these persons - bar two (noting he did see a couple of others with the second man described below, but only on one occasion and consequently he did not make a "mental note" of their description).

One he described as a thin "nerdy" man in glasses who visited the program (only once) in 1961 / 1962, he was seen talking with various

personnel and then he left, without introduction or explanation. It was some years later that my grandfather alleged that he may have recognised a CIA counter intelligence expert called before the Church Committee on Intelligence Activities in 1975 as being this "nerdy" man.

The second was a thick set "Mediterranean type" whom my grandfather saw in the vicinity of the program's offices on more than one occasion in the 1960's and into the 1970's, also seen in discussion the authorities, but again never introduced or identified in any way and never sighted again by my grandfather.

While not directly identified to the personnel in the program in the initial stages, it soon became apparent that the increasing focus of the information and events being targeted for PDC were military and / or intelligence targets, along with an increasing rate of so called "training exercises" – termed "red team" exercises – where an internal military or other group will actively "interrogate" the integrity of a mission or decision – basically posing as the enemy. This obviously works a lot better when PDC is utilised (unknown to the teams) to analyse and then feedback information.

You see, as it was explained to me, there are essentially three "types" of men associated with the program – (1) those who discovered and developed PDC (as a scientific tool), (2) those who made the decisions and used the program (as a political tool) and (3) those who effectively controlled the program by way of directing the day to day operations and targeting of the program, using it (as a weapon).

The men in category one are small in number and soon became largely powerless, essentially obsolete to the continued operation of the program and therefore do not warrant further discussion in this manuscript.

The men in category two are also small in number (on a world scale), but because of the "political" nature of their "reign", their knowledge of the program is often more linked to their position than them personally (i.e. until recently the program was known to the POTUS, regardless of who that happened to be) and hence their ability to develop the program in any particular direction is limited (except for perhaps releasing the information to the public, which has been suggested on more than one occasion – as you will see explained below).

I note the men in category two are "public figures" and therefore their every decision and almost their every move is public knowledge, it is

recorded, it is open to scrutiny and often the subject of further analysis and evaluation, in perpetuity. For this reason that you too can analyse the information and come to your own conclusions about the existence and value of the program. It is also for this reason that the program is largely "safe" in their hands, they KNOW they are being watched – for evermore.

It is the men in category three who are all powerful and all important (and very dangerous). I suspect they are VERY small in number, although as I say my grandfather claimed ignorance of this fact. I will go into this aspect at the end of the manuscript, but suffice it to say that if the secret of the program remains in their hands then there is very little chance humankind as a whole will benefit from PDC.

My grandfather explained that in 1975 the program came very close to public discovery, during the course of investigations preceding the Rockefeller Commission (full title – United States President's Commission on CIA Activities within the United States) and then the Church Committee (full title – United States Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities).

Note there were also two Democratic Commissions convened in 1975, to run concurrently with the Church Committee and Rockefeller Commission (being the Pike Committee and the Nedzi Committee), however these inquiries garnered very little support within the military and intelligence communities and they discovered nothing in respect to the program.

I will not go into the details of the reports generated by these multiple commissions of inquiry, as their analysis is a matter of public record anyway and more importantly, large sections of the Rockefeller Commission Report (with respect to CIA assassinations plots) were removed – by President FORD, on instruction to Richard (Dick) CHENEY. Basically the commission determined and directed that the CIA must restrict its activities to FOREIGN intelligence (noting they were never officially allowed to spy on American citizens, but the commissions did uncover evidence of Presidential directions to do just that).

The program went deep "underground" following these commissions and I fear its existence will never be disclosed, save for a "whistle-blower" event such as this.

As my grandfather explained, the problem was that the FBI was the body charged with internal intelligence gathering but they have

NEVER been privy to the information generated by the program (and my grandfather suspects the powers within the CIA were determined that this was never going to occur – hence the need for the CIA to "cross the line" from external to internal intelligence operations on occasion, so as to maintain the integrity of the program's confidentiality when the target skins were internally generated (which is the case with a significant number of the altered events).

Chapter 8 – Where it is at today

As explained, with my grandfather's passing I no longer have a direct link to the program, apart from the ongoing ability to access the upload link and to monitor the Event Log.

In effect, I am not able to comment with any degree of authority on the current state of the program, apart from commenting that the "hardware" (the satellite link, the email access, and the links to the Voyager spacecraft) are all still in place, so technically the program is still able to generate PDC – I am simply not privy to what they are doing with that information.

At the time I last had knowledge of the information generated (I will not tell you exactly when that was, as that may potentially provide a link back to my grandfather, but it has been several years now) the system was continuing to operate on a fully automated basis – consisting of computer generated messages and responses with very minimal human intervention – save for explicit "target skins" (i.e. emergency responses to world events that the authorities determine either should not happen or should not happen in the manner they just did).

What concerns me – if I die, who will know (about the program)?

PART 3 – some of the “influenced” events (to date)

Chapter 9 - Overview

Following is a brief description and analysis of a number of events (but nowhere near all of the events) which have occurred on Earth, beginning in April 1961 and extending to today (although it should be noted, as stated above, that there does not appear to have been any influenced events since late 2016).

Note, this is NOT an exhaustive list, because prior to 1989 the possible negative effects (i.e. “butterfly effects”) were not fully appreciated and so there was a much higher usage rate on the project, but primarily for comparatively minor “domestic” events such as routing out spies and internal whistle-blowers.

Since 1989 the system has been scaled back (initially it was shelved for a short period and then re-booted with a significantly higher security rating, thanks to advances in digital technology, moving rapidly to the project as it is today – i.e. fully automated).

There are many many significant events which have been influenced by FTL but there are two in particular of which the world will know and link immediately, the assassinations of brothers John F Kennedy and Robert F. Kennedy (strictly speaking the “assassination” of Bobby Kennedy was not FTL – it was an event three months earlier which was influenced and then in the aftermath of that event he refused to co-operate and he was assassinated).

I mention those as a “teaser” but I will present the event analysis and descriptions chronologically.

I also noted with interest the release of previously classified JFK Files (in October 2017), which included an un-explained reference to a “pre-shooting” telephone call to an English newspaper (the Cambridge News) 20 minutes before JFK was shot – thanks to FTL!!!

This corroborates already known “early” reports to a New Zealand newspaper (the Christchurch Star), which explained their apparent scoop by way of “technical ingenuity” (they obviously did not know how they came to gain so much information in such a short amount of

time – again thanks to FTL).

There is a part of you all which KNEW this was true all along, isn't there?

Part of you which knew there had to be "something more", some over-riding system or "being" – many call it fate, many call it religion, it's kind of ironic that its actually just a bunch of humans, assisted by science (of course) but make no mistake - PDC (FTL) is that "something".

Google it – FTL is real – it was demonstrated by scientists involved in the OPERA (Oscillation Project with Emulsion tRacking Apparatus) experiments of September 2011, conducted in collaboration between CERN (Geneva, Switzerland) and LNGS (Gran Sasso, Italy).

I won't go into the specific details, as I have no direct knowledge (or referred knowledge – as in the case of the 1959 experiments, based on the knowledge of my grandfather), but it is accepted that the results of the experiment did show faster than light travel of neutrino (sub atomic) particles in experiments conducted in September 2011. These results were subsequently discredited on the basis of some rather flimsy excuses (a loose fibre optic cable and an allegedly incorrect clock timer reading).

Finally, Google the recently coined phrase "Mandela effect" – which is a psychologically recognised phenomenon of COLLECTIVE false memories (i.e. unrelated, independent and geographically separated persons having the SAME memory of events which never happened).

In the case of Mandela, thousands of people from various walks of life all have a memory of Nelson Mandela dying in prison in the 1980's and seeing reports of his funeral in news reports, when in fact Nelson Mandela did not pass away until December 2013 at aged 95.

I will return to this later, but I mention it because I think FTL is responsible.

I think potentially when the authorities over-use the program (and certainly this was the case in the 1980's) there is some resultant "ghosting" imprinted on the sub-conscious of the masses, which is derived from small un-intended changes affecting the lives of those too close to the changes.

As I explained, the program relies on information travelling back in time (i.e. not people or matter – in any way) so it is not accurate to

say anyone or anything has been directly displaced as a result of FTL, but many many events have been affected – events as simple as the testing of the program and as large as saving the entire planet from possible annihilation - and each of these events have people closely associated with them and witness to them, so when they are altered, so is the experience (and memory) of those people.

So, now I will explain some of the more public of the influenced events to date.

Chapter 10 – Bay of Pigs

17 April 1961
Various
Cuba

On 17th April 1961 a force of 1500 mainly Cuban exiles, trained and guided by US military personnel (Brigade 2506) landed at the Bay of Pigs on the southern side of Cuba, hoping to spark a Cuban uprising to overthrow the communist government of Fidel Castro. The Cubans responded quicker than expected, they sank the majority of the landing vessels and overcame the forces, with more than 100 killed and the remainder captured.

The Bay of Pigs "fiasco" is recognised and debated worldwide for many reasons. Geographically, Bay of Pigs is a small inlet on the southern side of Cuba, on the opposite coastline from the capital Havana. Though it was a "strategically" poor site from a military point of view and it was not the site the troops had trained for, it was felt by the decision makers (including the office of the President, who had the infant FTL technology to assist in their preparations, also possibly CIA personnel – it was not known by my grandfather whether they were advised of the program at this time) that it was going to attract less attention than the initial planned landing site in Trinidad. Militarily, another problem was the reduction in the number of B-26 bombers, to be used to bomb the Cuban airfields and take out their air force, down to just eight planes, considered well under the minimum required. And politically, it simply did not generate the revolutionary "wave" that had been anticipated.

These problems resulted in the failure by the USAF to nullify Cuba's air defences prior to the landing, resulting in the invading force of Cuban exiles quickly running out of ammunition in the face of much stronger resistance than planned and they were forced to surrender (those who weren't killed), with no chance of a local "uprising". Essentially the Cuban exiles were seen as "expendable". But probably the main problem and failure of the Bay of Pigs mission was that it was the very FIRST usage of PDC and the "end zone" was less than two hours.

The authorities had very little understanding of how to use PDC, with the primary "error" being a lack of understanding that a two hour end zone was essentially worse than useless, in that it provided a false sense of security to the mission decision-makers yet provided insufficient time "gain" to make any useful changes to the circumstances. Compounding this was the fact that the decision makers (i.e. the Kennedy's and the heads of the military and intelligence units) knew of the PDC, but it is suspected that not even the CIA boss involved in Bay of Pigs (Richard BISSELL) or the paramilitary commander (Jack HAWKINS) were informed of the usage of PDC – because they simply didn't have "security clearance" – as PDC is classified at the highest possible level with the intelligence and military community.

In relation to just what PDC was used for, in the case of the Bay of Pigs it was they used to determine the best "time to strike" – which turned out to be an abject failure (for the reasons detailed above). It is a matter of record that there were major planning alterations and "false starts" with the mission in the final weeks, which I can now reveal were due to the early attempts to incorporate PDC into the mission (noting the initial planning for the mission had commenced before PDC came "online" – i.e. Bay of Pigs was not specifically designed for PDC but when it became apparent to the Kennedy's that it was going to be available for use I assume they simply could not resist).

It was also intended for use during the incursion and it was attempted but the shortcomings of the limited end zone (it wasn't called an end zone at the time) were discovered and basically a decision was taken to "cut them loose" – leaving the exiles to their own fate.

Probably the greatest failure of Bay of Pigs was the actual plan itself – it tried to be a bit of everything. Those within the program were aware that there were other simpler and more direct Fidel Castro assassination plans in play at the time, noting these were the domain of the CIA and so not strictly involving PDC (but the CIA personnel overseeing the preparations for Bay of Pigs were overheard to mention these "other plans"). It was felt in hindsight that they should have gone with one of these, noting in the aftermath the Kennedy's position on PDC "softened" somewhat (i.e. initially they were very enthusiastic, but direct observation of the "failure" of the process led them to hesitate on a number of occasions).

You will note that back in that initial phase of the program there was not the level of secrecy surrounding the program: there was both a

sense of superiority in intelligence circles (i.e. they felt they could pretty much do what they wanted and the public would wear it) but also an acceptance that if the details were to be released publicly then "so be it". Overall my grandfather felt that THE TRUTH carried more weight back then than it does now and unfortunately I feel "the program" has played a (shadowy) part in this disintegration of our understanding and our willingness to accept and admit the truth.

Chapter 11 – the Cuban Missile Crisis

16th – 28th October 1962
Various times
Atlantic Ocean

On 15th October 1962 U2 reconnaissance aircraft discovered SS-4 nuclear missiles in Cuba, originating from the USSR. President Kennedy is informed and over the following week there are both military and diplomatic efforts, to identify additional missile sites and protest their existence with the Soviet Foreign Minister. With concurrent bomb testings underway in both the US and USSR a decision is taken on 21st October 1962 to initiate a "naval blockade" of Cuba by the US Navy, aimed at preventing further shipment of Soviet nuclear missiles to Cuba. US Armed Forces are ordered to DEFCON 3 on 22nd October 1962. Soviet vessels enroute to Cuba reach the "quarantine line" on 24th October 1962 and are instructed by Soviet Leader Khrushchev to hold at that point. Coinciding with this US Armed Forces are raised to DEFCON 2 (the highest level of readiness at any point in history to that point and remaining the highest level – equal to the level of readiness at the commencement of the 1991 Gulf War). High level "posturing" continues, as do the US and USSR bomb testings, there are diplomatic negotiations regarding promises not to invade Cuba and to remove US missiles from Turkey and the crisis ends on 28th October 1962 with the withdrawal of the vessels (and the missiles). US Armed Forces remain at DEFCON 2 until 15 November 1962, monitoring the situation.

Internal reviews of the usage of PDC (called BTC at the time) showed that it was very effective in assisting the President (JFK) in his dealings with the Soviets, in as far as he was able to suggest strategies or make requests, hear the response then use PDC to fine-tune the strategies and requests. In the end this "edge" was enough to make the Soviets believe there was a high ranking "mole" in their midst, which they were unable to track down and they withdrew their missiles without enforcing their bargained positions (for the USA to remove missiles from Turkey).

Within the program it was felt that PDC had only minimal beneficial value, but the Kennedy's were very pleased and personally thanked the program staff individually (which was a great source of honour amongst the staff).

I think it is safe to say that at that stage of the program, particularly in comparison with later usages, the PDC was relatively ineffective as a "scientific tool", but as a "political tool" (which is the way the Kennedy's were evaluating it) it was seen as invaluable – it made them seem almost "prophetic" in their ability to hit the nerve centre and cut-through to the heart of the negotiation and from all accounts this was evident to both the other government officials / military personnel and intelligence personnel in on these negotiations as well as the Soviets – who seemed rattled by the Kennedy's continued ability to "out-play" them.

Overall it was a perfect "event" for PDC (much more than Bay of Pigs), in that it occurred over a finite period (13 days from 15 to 28 October 1962) and it was totally under the control of the parties involved (the US and Soviet leaders).

After the initial reporting of the missiles in Cuba and the reporting to Ex-Comm there were PDC usages on 17 and 18 October, to ostensibly check on the information being supplied and also following the pre-scheduled meeting between RFK and the Soviet Foreign Minister, where they initially mentioned the missile evidence but then changed their minds, preferring to keep that "joker in the pack". A naval blockade or "quarantine" was proposed and accepted and there were no further usages until 22 and 23 October, in the aftermath of JFK's televised speech and at the point where the Soviet fleet stopped (noting PDC was seen as invaluable in achieving this result).

The only other significant usage during the crisis was on 27 October 1962, when Captain Charles Maultsby strayed into Soviet airspace near Alaska during a routine U-2 reconnaissance (i.e. totally unrelated to the Cuban issue but occurring at the same time and therefore it could have possible been seen by the Soviets as a pre-curser to a pre-emptive strike on Russia). I note the program were never officially advised this was the case, but there was speculation the Kennedys and their inner circle did commission the flight in order to gauge the response. In the end the USAF Captain was rescued and there was no detrimental effect on the missile crisis negotiations (my grandfather felt that by this time Khrushchev was spooked – both by the aggressive nature of JFK's response and his ability to stay one step ahead of him in the negotiations – thanks to PDC).

Chapter 12 – the Assassination of JFK

22nd November 1963
12:30PM (18:30 GMT)
Dealey Plaza, Dallas, Texas USA

President John F Kennedy, accompanied by his wife – Jacqueline, Texas Governor John Connally and his wife – Nellie, were in a Lincoln convertible in a 10 mile three car motorcade from the airport to Dallas Trade Mart, where they were scheduled to have lunch. The motorcade turned right from Main Street, then left onto Elm Street in Dealey Plaza, in order to access the on-ramp to the Stemmons Freeway, leading to the Dallas Trade Mart. The assassin, Lee Harvey Oswald, was positioned in the south-eastern corner on the sixth floor of the Texas School Book Depository, with a commanding view over the motorcade from the point it turned off Main Street, travelled the 100m to Elm Street, then left onto Elm Street, travelling approximately 100m on Elm Street before the assassination, directly adjacent to the now famous "grassy knoll". The motorcade was travelling at a speed of less than 20km/hr (approximately 5 metres per second) and therefore was visible to Oswald for up to one minute before the assassination. Oswald fired three shots, striking the President twice and also injuring Governor Connally and a spectator (outside the vehicle). With a shot to the head the President was fatally wounded and pronounced dead at Parklands Hospital 30 minutes later.

The terrible irony in this sorry saga is that the JFK Assassination was the very first large scale event SUCCESSFULLY influenced by the use of PDC (which by this time had been re-named Pre-Event Communication – PEC) – Bay of Pigs was a disaster and it is debatable how much influence PDC had on the Cuban Missile Crisis.

In the case of the JFK Assassination the authorities had two and a half years from the first usage in which to better familiarise themselves with the strengths and limitations of the program. It is should also be noted that is a strange oddity that this event was one of only a small handful what was not sanctioned by POTUS for PDC usage prior to the usage (for obvious reasons).

For this event I will seek to break it down, in terms of just when, why and how PDC was used, because it was a great hobby of my grandfather's. He was a big fan of JFK even though he was a Democrat and often spoke in terms of the "what if …" (as in what if JFK had lived). I don't think he ever quite grasped the irony in the fact that he was an integral part in the development of the program which led directly to the death of JFK, or maybe he just chose to ignore that aspect.

The official timeline records JFK and Caroline landed in Fort Worth late on 21st November 1963, in preparation for a full day of official engagements, including a short transfer on Air Force One to Dallas on the morning of 22nd November 1963. JFK and Caroline landed at Love Field at 11:38AM (slightly later than scheduled) to pick up a motorcade through the streets of downtown Dallas on the way to a lunch engagement at Dallas Trade Mart, with the motorcade leaving the air field at approximately 11:52AM (also later than scheduled). JFK was shot as he neared the Trade Mart, around 35 minutes into the (intended) 45 minute journey – at 12:33PM – with the shooter (Lee Harvey OSWALD – LHO) apprehended at 1:50PM (and JFK died at 1:00PM). The "end zone" for PDC at the time was under three hours.

My grandfather was adamant that the CIA, perhaps in co-operation with underworld figures, plotted to assassinate JFK – in direct retaliation to his intention to make public the existence of PDC and the program.

I cannot detail here just how LHO was recruited and effectively "brainwashed" into being the "point man" on the shooting and I don't know the identity of the other shooters, but I can tell you it took them three goes to get it right – what I mean by that is PDC was sent three times and so the shooting occurred three times before the authorities were satisfied.

See, the problem was they needed three things to occur well inside that three hour window – they needed JFK shot (1), they needed him dead (2) and they needed LHO apprehended or preferably dead (3).

Given the shooting was going to occur come hell or high water the first event was assured. Given it was the President that was being targeted (and in a very public manner) it is fair to say that there was a high degree of chance in relation to the second event (JFK's death) but it was the third event (the capture of LHO) that caused the most concern.

LHO did NOT know about PDC (which obviously complicated matters.

It is my grandfather's understanding that in the wake of the difficulties encountered with Oswald a decision was taken to inform Jack RUBY of the existence of PDC (I told you he was important in this!)

The President's trip was muted months in advance and publicised in September 1963, two months in advance (a fact well noted by subsequent Presidents!) The authorities had inside knowledge on the scheduled trip and were able to make detailed selections in relation to possible and probably assassination sites. The motorcade from Love Field to the Trade Mart was selected because of its public exposure and Dealey Plaza was selected because it was near the destination (i.e. it was more likely to be on the route – which was determined closer to the event than the luncheon venue).

Oswald, who had been prepped for months, was able to secure employment at the Book Depository just over a month before the event and everything was in place. It will no doubt be of interest to various persons to know that "contingencies" were in place, but my grandfather was not privy to those details.

In the week before the event the timing was planned, given the schedule had been largely finalised. There is speculation about a change of route (as regards the turn onto Dealey Plaza) but that has been proven to be a "red herring" given the motorcade had to pass under the rail lines then access the freeway westbound and the only way to do this was from Dealey Plaza. The motorcade was expected to leave Love Field at approximately 11:30AM and arrive at Trade Mart at around 12:15PM, thereby setting the time of assassination at just after 12:00PM (noting in the actual event it occurred at 12:30PM due to short delays earlier in the day, which were inconsequential to the event or outcome).

With the end zone at less than three hours and to provide adequate buffer 2:00PM (20:00 GMT) was set as the time the PDC message was to be sent – UNLESS all three mission outcomes had been achieved. Those directly involved did not know why, but they knew it all had to be done by 2pm. In the "first skin" JFK was shot, but was only hit by two bullets, with a third striking Caroline (this was not of itself a problem or concern) – but she did "get lucky" because JFK did not die and so the PDC message had to be sent. In the "second skin" the sharp shooters were more accurate, JFK was dead but LHO evaded capture and again the message had to be sent. It was not until the "third skin" that all three mission outcomes were met JUST inside the 2pm deadline.

So, history does not record it but poor old John Fitzgerald KENNEDY, the 35th President of the United States, died TWICE on that sunny afternoon in Dallas Texas – sound familiar?

And that was not the end of the PDC involvement for this event – Oswald was in custody ALIVE. This is where Jack RUBY came into play – he DID know about PDC, he just didn't know how it worked, hence his audacious parading around (he was reported at the Parkland Hospital shortly after JFK's death and on more than one occasion at the Dallas Police Headquarters in the short period while LHO was in custody prior to his murder).

It was quite a joke within the program, apparently Jack Ruby's handlers had (falsely or otherwise) somehow convinced Ruby that he could kill Oswald while in custody and they would be able and willing to reverse it using PDC, in exchange for waiving certain debts and paying others owed by Ruby. So when he shot Oswald he was calmly arrested, believing he would be transported back in time and out of harm's way. My grandfather was convinced that Ruby never actually caught on – PDC was never actually deployed to prevent his shooting of Oswald (and it was never intended to be used) – in the end there was speculation that Ruby was killed in 1967 with the assistance of PDC, but my grandfather thought not, he felt Ruby never came to grips with the process. There is another line of thought, to the effect that his wider family may have been threatened by the authorities should Ruby ever divulge details of his knowledge (also he would have known they could make good with such threats!)

So, that was the end of the sorry saga – JFK was dead and the program remained a secret (how they managed to keep Robert from releasing details was not known to members of the program). What was known was that RFK re-visited his intention to release the knowledge of the program to the world in early to mid-1968 following the K129 incident (see below) and the next thing he too was dead – my grandfather can't confirm PDC involvement in this event because he was on a secondment at the time, but it is strongly suspected.

Before I move on I will reference the "early" news reports in the Christchurch Star on 23rd November 1963 – with very detailed information concerning the assassination and Oswald, it was strongly felt (but never proven) within the program there was a "plant" somewhere in the system who fed the information to a contact at the Star – for what reason will never be known. As detailed below, it also came to light with the recent release of further JFK files that a reporter at the Cambridge News (in England) received a telephone call

about the assassination at 6:05PM (12:05PM in Dallas – 25 minutes before JFK's shooting in the third skin, but 5 minutes AFTER his shooting in the first and second skin!!!).

Unfortunately this news only came to light after my grandfather's passing, so I will never be able to debate with him what this meant – I suspect he would put it down to an over-zealous CIA operative (or maybe it was someone trying to make a name for themselves – given it was "intended" for the President to have been shot by around midday), but I suspect it may have more to do with the "Mandela effect" – as detailed below – with some residual of the first and second skins remaining in existence, even though they had technically been erased by the third (and official) skin.

Finally, there has been considerable and consistent speculation about the involvement of various parties in JFK's assassination. My grandfather knew of the program's involvement and consistently denied incoming President JOHNSON was involved, but it certainly true that some personnel within the CIA were involved and the strong suspicion within the program was that they had "outsourced" some of the messier aspects (back-up shooters etc) to organised crime – the MAFIA.

My grandfather does not know who pulled the trigger, but he did know it was more than Lee Harvey Oswald acting alone (apparently in the first and second skins the shots were "better spaced" and in keeping with the mission parameters, whereas in the third skin – being the one we are all stuck with – the second and third shots almost overlap – because they came from different weapons). This level of detail was obviously not known at the time a decision was taken to "let it ride" (i.e. not send a further message for a "fourth skin").

My grandfather noted with some amusement that when the "shit hit the fan" in 1974 / 75, following Nixon's resignation and in the face of Congressional and Senate Committee investigations that Jimmy HOFFA went missing (never to be located) and Sam GAINCANA was summarily executed shortly before he was due to testify before the Church Committee, at a time when he was supposed to be under police guard (which would mean nothing against PDC) – both were significant underworld figures with links to the CIA and speculated involvement in the JFK Assassination.

Chapter 13 – The sinking of the Soviet submarine K-129

8th March 1968
Time of event not identified
Pacific Ocean near Hawaii
Quite likely the MOST important PDC influenced event to date and hence largely unreported and unremarked by the public at large. K-129 was a Project 629A diesel-electric powered submarine which was ordered in 1954 and completed in 1960, thereafter assigned to the Soviet Submarine Fleet and operated from the Rybachiy Naval Base on Kamchatka Peninsula in the Bering Sea (to the north of the North Pacific Ocean) – on the eastern side of Russia. Serving as part of the Soviet Pacific Fleet the K-129 was engaged in "ballistic missile combat patrols" during 1967 & 1968, meaning the submarine was armed with nuclear warheads and was assigned a specific area of the ocean in which they "patrol", awaiting further orders (i.e. in preparation for a possible launch). The vessel left base on 24 February 1968, due to return on 5 May 1968. It completed its test dive radio communications, also when it crossed the 180th meridian and on arrival at its patrol area, but no further communication was received and by mid-March 1968 the Soviet naval authorities at Kamchatka became concerned, with the submarine allegedly having missed two consecutive radio check-ins. Soviet Naval Command ordered an air, surface and sub-surface search for the vessel and US authorities later analysed their SOSUS (sound surveillance system) which had allegedly recorded an "event" on 8 March 1968, thought to be as a result of a possible explosion aboard the submarine and it was later discovered using triangulation of the sosus data at a location outside its designated patrol area and quite close to Hawaii (and within ballistic missile range of the United States mainland). Parts of the sunken submarine were later salvaged by US authorities, following an elaborate (and unprecedented) CIA operation named Project Azorian.

Long considered in the program "the greatest story never told", the sinking of K-129 in the Pacific Ocean off Hawaii on 8 March 1968 is quite likely the most important (or if not the most important, certainly the second most important) usage of PDC.

The most important because it altered the course of the human race, for it is safe to say that without PDC then there would have been a "rogue" pre-emptive strike by the Soviets on the United States, who would have retaliated, thereby setting of an inevitable chain of events under the age-old doctrine of "mutually assured destruction". The only other possible "contender" (for the position of most important PDC event) is possibly the near ELE event in 1989, although there is some scepticism surrounding this event.

See, the "official" version relies on a series of "lucky" grabs, by the United States' SOSUS undersee sonar surveillance system, which were allegedly then able to pinpoint the location sufficient to allow for the submarines to be partially salvaged using Project Azorian (see below). So, if you believe the authorities, the submarine (in 1969) sunk in the deep Pacific Ocean well outside its scheduled "patrol area" yet it was still able to be located within days thanks to a sonar signature left on a bunch of sensors conveniently placed nearby in the whole bloody ocean! Funny that, because here we are at the end of another decade in a new century, with all of the vast computing power, satellite and other global monitoring systems and we STILL can't find MH370 – which disappeared in very similar circumstances (i.e. involving foul-play and a pilot or crew "off reservation" and who did not want to be found)!

The "real" version does not rely on any such far-fetched "coincidences" – because of the simple fact that in the first skin – the submarine launches two missiles before the third self detonates in the tube, killing all occupants and sinking the submarine. In other words, the submarine crew announced their location to the entire world in very dramatic fashion, it was just extremely lucky that the authorities had a system like PDC in place – to allow them to reverse that event!

Using PDC the authorities were able to re-route an allied submarine (the identity of this submarine is a closely guarded secret), which was able to locate and engage the rogue K-129, thwarting its intended "mission" and it was sunk, but not without minor damage to the other submarine, but thankfully not resulting in Armageddon.

As stated above, another complicating factor in this event was the fact that Robert Kennedy, through his links to Johnson's presidency and to the program direct (from when JFK was President) had direct knowledge of K-129 and the PDC involvement and he had begun pushing behind the scenes to re-visit the notion of revealing the program to the world "before it was too late" (apparently his words – and very prophetic indeed, given the events of 1972-75, after-which it

basically became impossible for the authorities to go public and save face. RFK was looking strong in the primaries and it was becoming a very real possibility (of him becoming President, when suddenly the problem went away – RFK was shot!)

Chapter 14 – the Chappaquiddick incident

18th July 1969
Midnight (05:00 GMT)
Dyke Road, Chappaquiddick Island, Massachusetts USA

Senator Edward (Ted) Kennedy, brother of John F Kennedy and Robert K Kennedy, both assassinated in the 1960's) is a former Massachusetts Senator (from 1962 to his death in 2009). He entered politics as a replacement for his eldest brother John when he was elected President in November 1962. On the evening of 18 July 1969 he organised a small re-union of "personnel" who had served on his brother (Robert's) Democratic primary campaign in 1968, during which Robert was shot and killed by a lone gunman. The re-union was held at Lawrence Cottage on Chappaquiddick Island, to the east of Martha's Vineyard in Massachusetts (a popular summer playground of the rich and famous). Also in attendance were a number of Kennedy's married male friends and a group of six young woman from the campaign, known as "the boiler room girls". Among them was Mary Jo Kopechne. Kennedy left the party with Kopechne at some time after 11pm, driving his Oldsmobile and leaving his chauffeur behind on a meal break. Instead of turning left towards the ferry, Kennedy went right and ended up on the unpaved Dyke Road at the eastern end of the island, where he failed to negotiate the low level Old Dyke Bridge, plunging the vehicle and its two occupants into the narrow Pocha Pond. Kennedy managed to free himself from the vehicle but Mary Jo did not and she drowned. Kennedy subsequently fled the scene and failed to report the accident until the following morning, by which time it had already come to the notice of local authorities.

I believe it is well recognised and well accepted that the public have never been told the "full story" in relation to the events of 18-19 July 1969 on Chappaquiddick Island, Massachusetts.

The official version (as detailed above) has more holes in it than a donut factory but it would – given the highly specific and personal nature of the event and the time delays involved.

This was still pre-Voyager so the end zone was limited and young

Teddy had allegedly failed to hang around at the scene to render assistance to Ms Kopechne, he had also failed to alert the authorities or make any efforts at a rescue. Rather, according to his version he actually "swam" across from the narrow neck between the island and Edgartown (on Martha's Vineyard) but then failed to notify the authorities until the following morning (after he had made a number of telephone calls from a public telephone box), by which time they had already received reports from local fishermen.

In the end Ted Kennedy made a "confession" of sorts and he received a suspended sentence on a charge of leaving the scene of an accident (which resulted in injury).

What actually happened is he was discovered missing by his friends (including Paul Markham) who notified his family, investigations were conducted on Chappaquiddick Island, Kennedy's vehicle was discovered with Kennedy in it and they coerced the authorities into using PDC to reverse the event.

The body of Mary Jo Kopechne was never noticed in the PDC response (and so while Kennedy lived and none of those involved have any direct memory of the "first skin" – apart from the Event Log) and her involvement was not revealed until the following day when Kennedy returned to the island (oblivious of the first event, which had by this time been erased, but not events occurring earlier in the night – i.e. Kennedy knew Kopechne was in the vehicle and by the time he divulged this information it was too late – so instead they had to fabricate this whole elaborate "story" about him attempting to dive down to save Kopechne, then sitting exhausted on the bank, notifying Markham and Gargan etc).

In the end this event (and PDC usage) shows the perils of such "selfish" usages of the technology, a point my grandfather went to great lengths to drum into me and my brother at every opportunity ("remember Teddy" he would say – as in "you can't outrun history" – not even with a time machine – and he was right – to some extent).

Chapter 15 – the Watergate scandal

17th June 1972
Midnight (05:00 GMT)
Watergate Complex., Washington DC, USA

Richard Nixon, the 37th President of the United States was a relatively "sedate" President in relation to the usage of PDC for other than military or intelligence targets, certainly in comparison with Ronald Reagan and the Bush's (senior and junior). He did however employ the program to attempt to "save his ass" in relation to the events of Watergate. Late on the night of Saturday, 17 June 1972 five men were arrested attempting to break in to the DNC (Democratic National Committee) Headquarters at the Watergate Complex in Washington DC. Later the FBI discovered a link between the men (cash found on them) and the GOP (via a slush fund set up by the Committee for the Re-election of the President) and further investigation linked the events directly to the President (including via previously un-disclosed recordings in the Oval Office) – eventually leading to the discovery that Nixon had been directly involved in attempts to cover up events following the arrests and thereby leading to possible Impeachment proceedings and Nixon resigned (on 9 August 1974).

Richard Milhous Nixon was a long-serving and hard-working politician long before he won election to the highest post on the planet (that of President of the United States of America), but whatever else he achieved he will always be remembered for his part in the Watergate scandal. Google "presidential scandal" and on every list you will find reference to Watergate – it was at the time and it still remains today one of the most important events involving a US President – because of the implications, because of the dark "underbelly" it uncovered and not the least because of the monumental STUPIDITY attached to the event.

What is not known to the public (until now) is the role PDC played in the unfolding events from June 1972 to August 1974.

I should preface this by saying that President Nixon was first informed

of PDC when he won the 1968 Presidential election in November 1968, so by that time the events (1 – 4) had occurred and were on the Event Log (which at that time was part of the evidence presented to the incoming President – it is no longer the case). Event 5 (Chappaquiddick) occurred "on his watch" and he apparently sanctioned the usage – he could have vetoed the wishes of the Kennedy family, but presumably opted for a "I'll scratch your back and you promise to be available to scratch mine at some point in the future" approach to the situation (god knows when or how the favour was repaid, but perhaps it was a guilty conscience, given the poor track record the Kennedy's had had with PDC until that point).

Under Nixon's presidency the program continued to operate much as it had done under previous Presidents (noting Johnson only used PDC for one "public" event – K129 – but it was used prodigiously for intelligence and military events during his reign – he basically gave the intelligence services unfettered usage, a decision it appears he may have regretted after leaving office – see below).

Nixon largely continued with this system, there was no concerted effort to either release knowledge of the program to the masses or to target humanitarian events for PDC usage. He did add a "money-making" aspect to the program (see below), but it should also be conceded that given the scandal ridden nature of his presidency he could / perhaps should have utilised the program more (it is speculated within the program that he simply didn't understand its value or trust the outcomes – so he ignored it).

What he SHOULD have done was deploy PDC the very minute his "plumbers" were discovered in the DNC Headquarters at the Watergate Complex on 17th June 1972, but given there were delays in the release of the information (although it should have been known to Nixon's cronies, given they had planned the entire event) the short "end zone" was closed by the time that course of action was considered. It is also fair to say that there was a level of arrogance within the President's inner circle – a feeling that they could (and would) continue to do whatever they wanted – safe in the knowledge they could reverse events if they got too close (as the program had been doing for ten years at that time in relation to specific intelligence related – i.e. "spy hunting" – activities). They simply did not recognise that a link between the burglars and the President's campaign team would be uncovered or would cause a "cascading effect" eventually forcing the President to resign – given that same President had won the 1971 election in a landslide.

In the period from June 1972 to August 1974 the authorities were able to divert, delay and severely obstruct ongoing investigations into their activities using PDC, but it should be noted that within a short space of time the major issue for the authorities shifted from "saving Nixon" to saving themselves and ensuring the continuing existence and secrecy of the program itself (particularly following revelations that there was an audio recording system in place in the Oval Office – known to the President and some of his cronies, but not widely known within the program and therefore a potential "danger" to the program).

Don't get me wrong, the program had done away with one President, so if Nixon had to "go" permanently then I doubt the authorities would have blinked an eye, but the problem was they didn't know what details may have already been recorded on the tapes – so getting rid of Nixon simply wasn't going to be enough.

In the end the whole thing blew up, there were multiple investigations as well as Congressional and Senate Committees and Commissions of Inquiry (most notably the Rockefeller Commission and Church Committee – referred to above) and the program ran very close to public discovery, thanks only to the redaction of certain sections in the final reports.

Nixon was facing impeachment but the authorities were able to call in enough favours (to keep the program secret – without divulging to those providing the favours the real reason why it was necessary to allow Nixon an escape) that in the end Nixon resigned and the whole problem "disappeared".

It should be noted that the launch of the Voyager Missions was well into the planning stage by this time, which was going to see an exponential increase in the "end zone" available to PDC and so basically the authorities chose to "hold tight" in these final years and wait it out – which they were able to effective do – with the exception of allegedly intervening in two attempted assassinations of the incoming President (FORD), although it was speculated, as detailed below, that the authorities really didn't put 100% into these events, given Ford was the Vice President from late 1973 to August 1974 (before taking over as President) and also he had been involved in the Warren Commission's investigation of the JFK Assassination. It is not known if Ford was informed of the program prior to his inauguration as President in August 1974 but it is safe to say that he did not have a favourable opinion of the program and he did not trust those involved.

Another aspect (in the Nixon / Ford era) was whether the CIA may have "run dead" on PDC usages in relation to Ford's assassination attempts to deliberately embarrass the FBI – who have NEVER been officially informed of the existence and scope of the program, a fact which would greatly "disappointed" the omnipotent J. Edgar HOOVER – the long-standing Director of the FBI until May 1972. It could be suggested that PDC was involved in Hoover's death (by heart attack) – but the simple fact is he was OLD.

Finally there is Howard HUGHES (Howard Robard Hughes Jnr).

Hughes is described as a "business magnate", who had wide ranging business dealings and political links spanning almost 50 years until his death in April 1976.

It was widely speculated that Hughes had knowledge of the program, given his seemingly "endless" luck in business and the fact that in the late 1960's he virtually disappeared from public view and apparently became highly paranoid.

Hughes had "loaned" his name to a clandestine project named Project Azorian commissioned by the CIA, to build a deep-water salvage vessel to assist in the salvage of the K-129 (see above), which they were allegedly able to accomplish with partial success in 1974.

There is great secrecy surrounding this entire project and even without the involvement of PDC there have been numerous "conspiracy theories" – just proves that truth is indeed stranger than fiction.

And before you ask – no I do not believe PDC was involved in the death of Howard Hughes – there really was no use, if he did know then who was going to believe him?

Chapter 16 – the 1973 / 74 OPEC Oil Crisis

During 1973 & continuing into 1974
Various times
Worldwide

Not a particularly glamorous event in comparison to the others on this list and also the first "event" which in fact spanned a period of more than 12 months (longer if you factor in early diplomatic moves). In summary, members of Arab OPEC (the Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Countries) imposed an embargo on the United States in retaliation for the US deciding to re-supply the Israeli military during its October 1973 conflict with a coalition of Arab states, resulting in bans to oil exports to the US and cuts in oil production, both of which placed significant upward pressure on oil prices, worldwide and in the United States, including a one-off increase of 70% on 16 October 1973 alone. The embargo was lifted in 1974 following negotiations relating to OPEC and also in relation to the war. In the period January 1973 to December 1974 the US Stock Market lost more than 45% of its value (with much greater losses experienced on the London Stock Exchange), due in part to the oil crisis.

.

Technically this was NOT a single PDC event, but it was identified as a "potential" PDC event AFTER the initial stock fluctuations and there were usages of the program in an attempt to gain from the (subsequent) circumstances.

The authorities identified PDC provided them with "inside" knowledge on stock movements, similar to knowing the winner of a sporting event BEFORE the race is run – very valuable to bookmakers and to those seeking to do business with bookmakers.

Effectively, the authorities recognised they had the unique and 100% foolproof opportunity to "short" the stock market and bank the profit, while maintaining their position in the market (they could identify stock which experienced significant short term price drops then use PDC to sell those stock before the price drop, wait and then re-purchase the stock back at the lower stock price, thereby gaining a

liquid profit while retaining the same stock portfolio OR increase their portfolio for the same outlay).

An independent taskforce was set up following the events of 1973 to monitor stock and commodity markets and provide a direct line of communication from the taskforce to the PDC program, in the event of further significant fluctuations, noting the communications were set-up in the same way as other lines of communication (double blind – so the provider and receiver don't know the others involvement or purpose).

In the end I understand there were some wins, but according to my grandfather it was largely considered a "joke" within the program, it was more trouble than it was worth (in monetary gains – considering it was operated by the Government, who are in the unique position of being able to set and expand tax rates and sources, hell they can print money if they run short, so the idea of using such a classified, highly sensitive and potentially dangerous program to do something as pedestrian as shorting stock was considered "beneath" the scientists who had devised and fine-tuned the program) and so it was discontinued.

Certainly there are only minimal records on the Event Log which could potentially relate to the taskforce's Intel in the second half of 1974 after-which the taskforce was disbanded.

I feel this usage shows the difference between what the "state" may or would use the program for and what the individual may or would use the program for – you be the judge.

Chapter 17 – the attempted assassinations of Gerald Ford (2)

5th September 1975 & 22nd September 1975
10:02AM (18:02 GMT & AM (GMT -8)
Sacramento, California USA & San Francisco, California USA

The description of these events is linked as they occurred less than one month apart. In the first event Lynette "Squeaky" FROMME, a dedicated follower of Charles MANSON, attempted to assassinate President Gerald FORD after he had returned to his suite at the Senator Hotel, from a breakfast speaking engagement at Sacramento Convention Center. He left the suite, he crossed L Street and engaged with a gathering in Capital Park (adjacent to the grounds of the California State Capital). As he was moving along the crowd, shaking hands and speaking briefly he observed Fromme, dressed in a flowing red dress, who was positioned in the second row of well-wishers. The President observed her to raise her right arm towards him and saw that she was holding a gun (identified as a Colt .45 calibre pistol). There wasn't a round loaded into chamber and so when Fromme pulled the trigger in the first instance the gun "clicked" and she was also heard to yell "it wouldn't go off". She was then grabbed and apprehended by Secret Service Agents, who also moved swiftly to the President and removed him from the area. In the second (less publicised) incident Sara Jane MOORE, another radicalised female attempted to assassinate President Ford outside the St Francis Hotel in San Francisco. On this occasion the assailant was able to fire a single shot using a .38 calibre revolver which she had only purchased that morning and she was unaware that the sights on the weapon were not calibrated correctly (her "normal" weapon had been confiscated by police only the day before and it was also noted she had previously functioned as an FBI Informant). Oliver Sipple, a random member of the crowd and a former Marine lunged at her, grabbing the weapon as it fired a second shot, which struck a bystander (who survived). Both assailants were apprehended, arrested, charged, convicted and gaoled for their attempts. Moore was released from prison in 2007 and Fromme was released in 2009 (noting neither party area / were aware

of the PDC involvement in these events).

As detailed, these events occurred before the launch of the Voyager space missions (and therefore at a time when the “end zone” was restricted in relation to PDC).

Complicating matters was also the fact that in the first event the President actually initially continued on with his schedule of events for the day, walking from the scene to his scheduled meeting at the Capitol with the Governor (Jerry BROWN), not even mentioning the attempt on his life during their 30 minute meeting.

At the same time the Secret Service were relaying initial reports of the incident, which reached the authorities in the program, but caused confusion (as there were no corresponding reports being received direct from the President – who was the person with final authority on usage of PDC for such events at that time).

It should be noted there were no set “protocols” or “fail safes” in place at the time surrounding usage of the PDC in the event of the death / compromising of the President – given that up until that point the usages had been largely planned and orchestrated and directly involved the President in that process (with the obvious exception of the JFK assassination, but on that occasion there was also a lot of planning involved).

President Ford was strongly of the opinion that the first assassination attempt was a minor incident only, he noted that up to that point PDC had not been used for such “trivial” events (indicating he was either not fully conversant with the history of the program or “chose” not to know) and so at the time of the second event there were STILL no firm protocols in place.

In the end, PDC was attempted on both occasions, but with little or no impact and so this appears (in the minds of those involved in the program) to have led President Ford to consider the program something of a “gimmick” – much like others have considered the electric light bulb, automobiles, talking pictures, television and personal computers “fads” which have minimal practical application in the real world.

On the second occasion there was a swift reaction and response, the log records messages were relayed but there appears to (again) have been some confusion as to the origin and intent of the message (given it was less than three weeks since the first event and the second event

occurred back in California). One could easily speculate that if the program were publicly disclosed and proper practices deployed then the Secret Service would have known the severity of the threat and have acted accordingly.

In the end, the scarcity of the reporting on this (second) event did tend to indicate to those in the program that the Secret Service, the FBI and the higher authorities were somewhat “embarrassed” by the sequence of events (given Moore was an FBI informant who had allegedly been “screened” by the FBI and found to NOT pose any security threat, given she had been arrested only the day before the assassination attempt for possessing an illegal firearm BUT released, with the firearm thankfully confiscated and finally given that in the end it fell to a member of the public in the crowd, albeit a former Marine – Oliver Sipple, to avert a second shot and potentially save the President’s life – a point not lost on the President himself).

Again it appears the President either was not informed of the PDC and impending threat (which I personally find difficult to comprehend or believe, given the timeline and factors as described above) or he simply chose not to heed the warnings and provide adequate information to his minders – noting the “minders” are NOT cleared to have full and direct knowledge of the program, but are reliant on direction from the President (who does have full knowledge).

My grandfather was not involved at that level and cannot comment in a comprehensive manner, but I note the President Ford assassination attempts were always a source of great amusement and head-shaking to him. He was a dedicated Republican voter and Ford was his all-time favourite – based largely on these events and the President’s determination to “march on” seemingly oblivious to the dangers posed.

Chapter 18 – the Three Mile Island nuclear incident

28th March 1979
4:37AM (0937 GMT).
Londonderry Township, Pennsylvania USA.
History records the Three Mile Island Nuclear Incident as the most significant nuclear "accident" ever to occur on United States soil, however without the intervention of PDC it would have been much worse. Unfortunately it was not realised (until too late) that the precipitating incident to the meltdown actually occurred 11 hours earlier and it was too late for PDC to recover. The chain of events is quite complex and detailed explanation serves no purpose to this manuscript. In summary, one reactor (TM-1) was shut-down for re-fuelling and the other (TM-2) was operating at 97% capacity when the pumps in the secondary water loop shut down (used to keep the turbine cool). This meant that the steam generators were no longer receiving feedwater, so the heat and pressure in the system increased, causing the reactor coolant system to perform a SCRAM (emergency shutdown). It was later determined that human error 11 hours earlier, in response to a filter blockage, had led to a leakage in the system, allowing water into the air line and leading to the pump shut-down..

That is the official explanation. What is NOT reflected in that explanation is that in the "first skin" (i.e. when the actual event happened, before PDC was used to alter it) the melt-down was much more extensive and the level of radiation leakage into the atmosphere much greater – with the potential for loss of life – which was averted using PDC – a message was able to be sent back before the meltdown and it was thought that they had solved the problem.

Not known at the time was the fact that the causative event (water being forced by human intervention past the check valve and into the instrument airline in the secondary water loop – leading to the system to trip out, overheat and SCRAM) was NOT captured within the PDC end zone and so they couldn't fix that. It did however serve its purpose – the authorities were able to convince the public that the nuclear program should continue and the potential for such events has

been eradicated.

Chapter 19 – Iranian Hostage Crisis

4th November 1979 to 20th January 1981
Over a period of time
Tehran, Iran

After the Shah of Iran (Mohammad Reza Pahlavi) was overthrown by revolution in Iran in 1979 he was granted entry to the United States, ostensibly for cancer treatment, by the US President Jimmy Carter. In apparent retaliation a group of Iranian students reportedly belonging to the "Muslim Student Followers of the Imam's Line" invaded and took over the US Embassy in Tehran, holding 55 American diplomats hostage (three were released but the remaining 52 were held for a total of 444 days). International diplomatic efforts were unsuccessful in securing the release of the hostages, as was a military rescue attempt in April 1980 (due to multiple factors including mechanical failure, dust storms and failed communications), with a second attempt planned but never put into action in late 1980 and following Carter's loss in the Presidential election in November 1980 no further attempts were made. Ronald Reagan (the incoming President) secured the release of the hostages (all of them unharmed) a mere 20 minutes after the completion of his official swearing in and inauguration address in Washington DC.

At the time the Iranian Hostage Crisis, which as stated above lasted more than 14 months and formed a major election platform for the incoming Presidential hopeful Ronald REAGAN, seemed a "serious enough" event – over 50 US Nationals held hostage in the Middle East by Islamic fundamentalists – but in today's world (post Al Qaeda – bringing 9/11 and countless other terrorists incidents and threats / ISIS etc) I feel it would be considered a much more serious event and much less likely to result in the outcome it did (the full release of the hostages unharmed).

In its own way it is one of the most successful PDC usages – not for the lives saved or the level of impact averting the "target skin" had – but in terms of sheer simplicity.

According to my grandfather, the former President (Jimmy CARTER) had attempted to use PDC, he had been "burnt" (metaphorically speaking) by the Three Mile Island incident and he had effectively "shelved" the program. He was then convinced to "give it another go", initiating "Operation Eagle Claw" in April 1980 – which was essentially a re-creation of the Bay of Pigs usage (involving pre-planning of an incursion, then using PDC – obviously unknown to those directly involved – to pinpoint an appropriate time), but went horribly wrong and it was aborted. He never used PDC again.

At that stage the President Elect was given access to the program in the period from his successful election (4 November 1980) to the inauguration (20 January 1981) and so Ronald Reagan would have been advised of the existence and use of the program (just as Nixon and Carter before him had been introduced to the program – noting Johnson and Ford came to office not via election, but following assassination and resignation respectively).

It should also be noted that his incoming Vice President (George H.W. BUSH) had previously served as the Director of the CIA from January 1976 to January 1977 (again at a time when the Director was routinely advised of the existence of the program – which I no longer believe is the blanket case) and so he would have known what Reagan was going to be informed of when he won the election.

Small note: It is safe to say the Kennedy's are the family which have detrimented the most from PDC. You can speculate on who has benefited the most.

In the end it was a relatively simple task for "team Reagan" to review the timeline of the hostage crisis, to formulate a negotiation plan, then use PDC in the period before his inauguration to "test run" a series of offers to the Iranians and use the one which was successful – masterfully delaying "pulling the trigger" on the offer until just prior to his inauguration – so he had immediate success – BRILLIANT! (Thanks to PDC).

Reagan hit the ground running with PDC, but was swiftly "bitten" – see below. It should be noted that his "Star Wars" (SDI) Strategic Defence Initiative – to ostensibly use satellites and space-mounted missiles / lasers in order to avert any pre-emptive nuclear strike by the Soviets did actually rely on the program to provide that "pre-emptive" information. It was seen within the program as a "back-door" way for Reagan to introduce the public to the existence and benefits of PDC and was therefore opposed by the authorities and

shelved – publicly because the “technology” to deliver the initiative was not in existence (rubbish!)

Chapter 20 – the shooting of Ronald Reagan

30th March 1981
2:27PM (19:27 GMT)
Hilton Hotel, Washington DC, USA

On leaving the Hilton Hotel in Washington DC following a speaking engagement the recently elected President along with an aide (James Brady), a Secret Service Agent (Tim McCarthy) and a Police Officer (Thomas Delahanty) were attacked and shot by lone gunman – John Hinckley Jnr. The President was shot in the left arm, grazing his rib and lodging in his lung, by the 6th and final bullet which ricocheted off the side of the armoured limousine which he was being pushed into by Secret Service Agents. He was taken to the George Washington University Hospital, diverting from the original path to the White House because of fears the rib injury may have punctured his lung. He recovered quickly, leaving hospital 13 days after the shooting and thus not requiring him to relinquish "executive control" and returned to the Oval Office on 25 April 1981.

As stated above, when Ronald Reagan won the November 1980 Presidential election he was introduced to the program, which he promptly used to great effect in ending the Iranian Hostage Crisis.

Reagan wanted to go public, against the wishes of the authorities and against the express advice of his Vice President (and former CIA Director) – George HW Bush. Reagan was determined (after all – he was the President) and so "as a warning" he was shown the true power of the program. The shooting was that warning.

Hinckley did the shooting but he had "assistance" and the event was "engineered" (i.e. repeated using PDC to ensure that the level of injury suffered by the President was serious but not serious enough to kill him or cause him to be incapacitated to the point where he had to relinquish Executive Control – "and so it came to pass").

Reagan took the warning as intended and the program remained the unique domain of the CIA and the special unit within the military for the remainder of his presidency.

Chapter 21 – Black Monday, the 1987 Stock Market crash

19th October 1987
Various
Wall Street, New York USA
On 19 October 1987 the Dow Jones Industrial Average (the stock market index used by Wall Street in the United States to measure the value of publicly listed industrial stocks) fell by almost 23% in value – comprising the largest single days loss in percentage terms, with similar losses felt on stock markets around the world and commencing a period of substantial losses around the world (some markets losing more than 50% of their value).

Given the experiences of 1973 (the OPEC oil shock) and the widespread reporting of the events on Black Monday worldwide (and given the significant devaluation of the stocks across the board) a decision was taken to use opportunity to short the stock and divert the funds to the program – thus ensuring the ongoing viability of the program (from a financial point of view).

As I understand it (noting my grandfather was nearing the end of his career by this time and he was not privy to the financial dealings) the actual trades are not recorded in the usual manner and so traces of the trades do not reflect the actual size of the gains (possible because portions of the trades were internal – between government departments – and only portions of the trades were external and therefore open to public scrutiny).

The resultant financial gains were channelled straight into the program and together with smaller trades (which show up on the Event Log) they kept the program solvent for many years into the future (because let's face it, it would be difficult for the CIA to go to Capitol Hill and ask for funding for a program that doesn't exist!)

Overall, not a particularly "sexy" demonstration of PDC but I ask you – if you or I had "sole" use of PDC isn't "engineering" a few

favourable stock trades or sports bets one of the first ways we would use it? As I said at the start, my grandfather was a VERY strongly disciplined man, he never did and as a result I never have either – but I have been tempted (I guess the publication of this manuscript is my method).

Chapter 22 – ELE

24th March 1989
Event did not occur (due to PDC)
Worldwide
The official record states that on 23 March 1989 a 300 meter diameter Apollo asteroid 4581 Asclepius (1989 FC) missed the Earth by 700,000 kilometers and that "if" it had impacted Earth it would have created the largest explosion in recorded history, equivalent to a 20,000 megaton TNT bomb – causing a massive crater and immediate loss of lives and affecting all life on the planet (through the changes to the climate / dust levels etc). What is NOT recorded is that in the "first skin" a second smaller (unrecorded) asteroid in the shadow of the larger identified asteroid impacted with the Earth less than 24 hours after the passing of 4581 Asclepius, causing a much more localised damage (yet no doubt still quite damaging to those in the direct path)..

Like a scene from a movie starring Bruce Willis (Armageddon) the response to this event was to use PDC, but the event only kept repeating (as you would expect with a celestial body on a collision course with our planet).

According to my grandfather (and I should preface this by saying that I believe my grandfather's account of PDC and its usages, after all he has fully explained the birth and progression of the program, as I have explained it here – all but this event – not that I don't "believe" it, just there is no evidence to establish it ever occurred – which I guess on one level is a mark of the success of the program, although I do consider there have been other "side effects" of this usage – which I have explained separately).

As I say, there is no "hard" evidence to establish that an asteroid hit the Earth in 1989 – simply because as far as the official record is concerned – it never happened. There is also no hard evidence of the smaller asteroid (travelling in the shadow of 4581 Asclepius) prior to the event. Now – that is not to say that it wasn't there prior to 1989, it is just there is no human evidence of its existence (and obviously there is no evidence afterwards – because it was destroyed).

So, having said all that I will tell the story as related to me, because even though I was alive at the time (as were most of you also), I have no direct recollection (as with you) and so I am totally reliant on my grandfather.

What I can tell you is that in the first skin the asteroid impacted the Earth, technically it was not an "Extinction Level Event" according to my grandfather (i.e. the asteroid was not of sufficient size to kill us all), but the problem was that the authorities didn't have enough time to "take the chance" of the level of impact and the environment was deteriorating (an unfortunate side effect of an asteroid strike is that the really detrimental effects take months to onset – in relation to the un-ending dust cloud and the effects this has on the climate and the eco-systems here on Earth – ask the dinosaurs if you don't believe me).

What they did was deploy PDC – re-creating the event (un-changed). My grandfather explained that in the first usage they actually attempted what they called a "leap frog" technique, whereby they sent the message later, so as to allow them to evaluate the effects before formulating the message. Now, the initial message, having been sent outside the "end zone" did not initially reach back before the event, but there was a directive attached to the message to re-send it immediately, i.e. giving the original message a chance to "bounce" back further in time. So, in this way they were actually able to collect information on the effects from later on, but still send them back to an earlier time, all using the same limited scope technology.

This was a technique that had been tested within the program but with limited success and it still did not change the event (i.e. the asteroid impact).

So, what they ended up doing was using the "time dilation" technique whereby they altered the acceleration rate of the message (which I explained in Chapter 3), to allow it to accelerate but only just at a speed slightly above the speed of light and this provided some form of "slingshot" style effect (my grandfather said he wasn't even able to explain it fully – and this is part of the problem of having this stuff all secret – there is no way of properly evaluating the value or otherwise of these variations).

What it meant was they were able to project the PDC back over a longer distance than 24 hours, which was useful but they found the accuracy of the targeting of the messages was not as good, so in the end they had to send multiple messages – in the hope that at least

ONE of them arrived back far enough to be of use.

What they were trying to do was plot the progress of the asteroid, then send a message back far enough in time so they could re-rout a man-made satellite or probe to impact with the asteroid (i.e. rather than having the asteroid impact with Earth).

My grandfather says that after a number of attempts they were able to successfully intersect the path of the asteroid with a space probe sufficient to cause a meteor shower and re-direct the remaining bulk away from a direct impact with Earth.

As I say, I am sceptical, I can accept the existences of 4581 Asclepius (there is a record of it), also there is variation in meteor shower activity in 1989, but this does not form actual evidence. Another interesting point is the loss of the Russian Phobos 2 space probe on 27th March 1989.

As detailed separately, it was the opinion of my grandfather that this concentration of PDC events in 1989 (with the program having to deploy the technology time and time again to produce the desired result – unlike most usages where the altered outcome is relatively easy to achieve) did have detrimental effects on us all. He points to a higher than usual level of large scale adverse events and human disruption in 1989 (think about it – 1989 was a year of human upheaval worldwide – the Berlin Wall was torn down, there was the Tiananmen Square uprising and massacre, the Exxon Valdez ran aground – on 24 March 1989, the very same day as the initial asteroid impact – and a number of other political events. I also feel the "Mandela effect" is related back to this over-usage – as I touch on later in this manuscript.

It is worth noting that according to Wikipedia the Space-guard program was initiated in 1992 (i.e. only a relatively short time after this event) following a US Congressional Survey Report in the same year, calling on NASA to locate 90% of the near-Earth asteroids within a period of 10 years (i.e. before 2002), which was apparently achieved just outside that ten year limit, but with reduced size parameters.

It is also worth noting that usage of the program was shelved (at least for minor intelligence and military usages) for a period following this event, given the ramifications, but in the end it had to be deployed in late 1990 / early 1991 in the First Gulf War – see below.

Chapter 23 – Operation Desert Shield / Storm / Sabre

From 2nd August 1990
Various times
Iraq

Following the Iraqi invasion of neighbouring Kuwait (on 2 August 1990), a coalition of UN forces first launched "Operation Desert Shield" – involving the build-up of the forces in Saudi Arabia (who comprised the majority of the forces, but there were also forces amassed from the US, Britian and over 30 other European & Middle Eastern nations). Kuwait is a small oil rich nation in the north-eastern corner of the Persian Gulf, between Iraq (historically an ally of the Soviet Union) and Saudi Arabia (historically an ally of the US). "Operation Desert Storm" commenced on 17 January 1991, with an aerial and naval bombardment of the occupying Iraqi forces in Kuwait (led by Saddam Hussein) lasting five weeks and supported by world leaders including US President George HW BUSH and Britian's Prime Minister at the time, Margaret THATCHER. The third phase, "Operation Desert Sabre", commenced on 24 February 1991 and ran in conjunction with Desert Storm, involving the insertion of ground forces and military hardware into the theatre of operations, to expel the Iraqi forces back across the border into Iraq. Termed the "100 hour war" the ground invasion was largely a one-sided affair, with the Iraqi forces heavily depleted by air and naval bombardment and they quickly retreated back into Iraq. There was later criticism of the coalition for not directing the amassed forces to pursue the Iraqi military back into Iraq and overthrow Hussein, but there wasn't political consensus for this action at that time. Largely considered a successful campaign, there were minimal defeats (the Iraqis did attempt an early incursion into Saudi Arabia but were quickly repelled, also there was an ongoing threat of Scud missile attacks on the desert forces as well as neighbouring Saudi Arabia and Israel), also the anticipated possibility that Hussein would deploy chemical weapons against the forces in the desert, with devastating results – but this largely did not occur (thanks to PDC – see below).

There has been much speculation about the First Gulf War (not as much as the second – but a great deal nonetheless). What led Saddam Hussein to invade? Did he expect to get away with it? What did he think was going to be the response from the west (and Saudi Arabia)? Did he care? More specifically – why didn't George Bush Snr continue to chase the Iraqi National Guard into Iraq and finish Saddam Hussein off then and there?

Well, hindsight is a wonderful thing and PDC operates "like" hindsight – but only on a limited scale and it simply is not suited a far reaching multi-dimensional "event" like a war. For one thing, a war lasts for much longer that PDC can reverse, so it is never going to be possible to avert a war using PDC (unless the war has a very specific origin point). In this case, the Iraqi troops invaded from various points but there were no local forces to repel them.

It is well known that Saddam Hussein initiated the invasion of Kuwait which precipitated the war. The actual invasion took only two days to complete and therefore could have been (at least) altered with the assistance of PDC, but this is another problem with attempting to influence such large events as wars (or for that matter any non man-made events) – the level of response needs to be widespread and in the case of the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait there simply weren't any allied forces in the region that could respond at such short notice (it took months afterwards to amass a coalition force in Saudi Arabia – termed Operation Desert Shield – ready for the expulsion of the Iraqi forces – termed Operation Desert Storm.

What they were able to do however was gain regular intelligence using PDC to allow for the most efficient deployment of troops.

It is also safe to say that one of George HW Bush's regrets in public office was his failure to pursue Hussein back over the border into Iraq in 1991 – given the efforts they put in in 2003 and the difficulties they encountered in that operation (and given it was his son who did the chasing in the second instance this no doubt played a part in those second efforts).

Overall the First Gulf War had only minimal but noteworthy input from PDC, given the major implications of that event.

Chapter 24 – 1993 WTC bombing / PAL434 bombing & the Bojinka plot

26th February 1993 / 11 December 1994 / January 1995
12:05PM (15:05 GMT) / 11:43AM (03:43 GMT) / NA
New York / Manilla – Tokyo / NA

Ramzi Yousef's had a strong hand in all three of these events. The first (the "first" bombing of the World Trade Center in New York US) occurred at 12:05PM on 26 February 1993. The second (the bombing of passenger flight PAL434 from Manilla to Tokyo) occurred on 11 December 1994. The final event mentioned (termed the "Bojinka plot") never actually occurred. It was discovered with the assistance of PDC on 6 January 1995 and was intended to have occurred later in the same month. In the first event Ramzi Yousef entered the United States illegally in September 1992, he was detained and claimed political asylum under the name Abdul Basit Mahmud Abdul Karim (thought to his real name). He was granted a temporary visa and resided in New Jersey with co-conspirators while scouting and planning the attack, involving the driving of a Ryder rental van packed with 680kgs of nitrate based explosives into the public underground car-park beneath North Tower WTC and parking on level B-2 shortly after 12PM. Yousef and another man (Eyad Ismoil) then lit the 6m fuse and left the vehicle, which exploded approximately 12 minutes later (at 12:17PM), causing a high degree of damage across four sub-floors, as well as severing the electricity supply to the trade centers, disrupting communication towers and flooding both towers with smoke (with the smoke and power disruption seriously impeding evacuation efforts), but it did NOT cause the collapse of the North Tower into the South Tower (as it is believed was the intention of the Al-Qaeda inspired bombers). In the end only six people lost their lives (thanks to PDC) while over a thousand were injured. In the second event Yousef boarded a commercial passenger plane (Philippines Airlines Flight 434) under an assumed name in Manilla at 5:45AM, for the initial leg of the flight, from Manilla to Cebu (in central Philippines – the former capital) taking just over 1 hour. During the flight Yousef changed seats and planted a small bomb with a timer

under his seat. He disembarked the flight at Cebu, with the plane loading more passengers and leaving Cebu for Tokyo at 8:38AM. The bomb exploded at 11.43AM, killing the young Japanese national in the seat and injuring ten others, but it did not punch a hole through the fuselage or into the fuel tank and so the pilots were able to land the plane safely and without further incident. Evidence in the bomb remnants linked the event back to Yousef. The final planned event was a "grand" series of events, including the assassination of Pope John Paul II, blowing up 11 passenger planes enroute from Asia to the United States, as well as flying a plane into the CIA Headquarters in Langley, Virginia USA. The event never occurred thanks to PDC.

These events are grouped because of the commonality with respect to the planners and perpetrators. Technically 9/11 could easily be grouped with these events, because it was a lot of what they "trialled" in these events which went into the planning of 9/11 (a fact the authorities came to realise after the event and they have since increased the usage of PDC for analysis of "suspicious" activity – just in case it signals a planning phase of a larger event – given the wide reaching grip Al Qaeda and other similar organisations have on the world today).

It is noted that other events, minor bombings in the Philippines in November and December 1994, have also subsequently been linked to Yousef, although there was no intelligence to this effect at the time of these events and so no way to use PDC to avert the events or gain information in relation to Yousef.

In relation to the first WTC bombing, Yousef entered the United States illegally, with the express purpose of planning and carrying out the WTC bombing, in the hope the explosion would bring the towers down (long seen by Al Qaeda as the "seat" of world trade and a symbol of western oppression of the Middle East). Given the lack of focus on terrorism and the "terrorist threat" the act of getting into the WTC car-park was relatively easy, it was overcoming the sheer size and engineering of the complex that was a bit above his expertise and the truck bomb was not placed in the right location to bring the tower down.

Again, in the first skin it was a case of a more serious event, which the authorities were able to lessen using PDC, but not avert altogether. My grandfather had left the program by this time and his main contact (who he was still able to obtain information through – apart from simply monitoring the Event Log) was on leave, so while my

grandfather was able to determine PDC was used (more than once) to attempt to avert the event, he did not obtain the specific details.

In the second event (PAL434) the program's efforts were severely hampered by the remote location of the event (mid-air on a passenger flight from Manila to Tokyo in Asia) and so they were able to assist with the prompt landing of the plane (thanks to the intervention of an American Air Traffic Controller and a USAF Lear Jet), but they were not able to get back far enough to avert the incident altogether (i.e. they needed to get all the way back to before the initial flight took off from Cebu). Obviously Yousef was not apprehended, because he was not on the plane.

In the final "intended" event (Bojinka – incorrectly translated as "explosion") it was intelligence gained after the PAL434 incident that allowed the program to specifically target Yousef and his associates in Asia, uncovering the "Bojinka plot".

The problem was that while they uncovered evidence of a plan to carry out these "grand" terrorist actions (involving multiple plane hijackings, an attack on the CIA Headquarters and the Pope) it was not documented exactly when these events were to take place, so in the end what happened was the authorities within the program had this vitally valuable information but no clear direction on how / when or what to do to avert the events (it was feared that there may have been multiple groups involved – given the scale of the planned events and therefore the risk was that they may be able to thwart some (but not all) of the events by going in "guns blazing".

In the end what this meant was that there were "whispers" within the intelligence community about the existence of this plot before it occurred and hence when it was averted using PDC and this information was made public those who had heard the whispers felt it may indicate it was a "false flag" plot (meaning a plot planned from within and to be used for propaganda purposes or as at argument to take further action).

There remains considerable mystery surrounding the intended event – suffice it to say that in the "first skin" the event did not go as planned (by the terrorists) – but it did provide enough information to the authorities for them to identify all of the major participants, to a degree that they were confident of shutting it down (which they did).

Chapter 25 – the Y2K Bug

1st January 2000
Midnight – everywhere
Worldwide

For those of you not old enough to recall, in the lead up to the "clock over" into the 21st Century, there was quite a bit of concern raised about the possible issues the "Year 2000 problem" or "Millennium Bug". The problem itself had its origins back with the first computers and computerised equipment, which had minimal storage capacity and so instead of recording the date data with the full year (i.e. 1989, 1990, 1991 etc) the computer programmers shortened it to the final two digits (i1 89, 90, 91 etc) and so "00" as a date in "computer date speak" referred to 1900, "01" referred to 1901 etc – yet with the looming change of century each of these older dates was going to be "superseded" with dates that were NOT going to be recognised by the computers. Another (lesser) problem related to the fact that 2000 was a Leap Year but some developers had apparently not recognised this unusual feature (usually the century years are not Leap Years, but every four century years it is a Leap Year). The problem was compounded by the fact a lot of computer systems (banks, government departments, regulatory bodies, utility companies, databases) were operating with old equipment using the abbreviated date references and the authorities simply did not know how the computers were going to react to the crossover into a new century (would they continue on as usual, would they malfunction in some un-determined manner or would they simply shut down?). The solution involved extensive software upgrades with significant time and money required to successfully complete the upgrades, so the authorities ran a significant "propaganda" campaign about the possible dangers of ignoring the potential consequences (after all: a lot of people simply could not comprehend how a date on a computer was going to impact their lives – but they did sit up and listen when commentators began talking about electricity grids shutting down and even more alarmist warnings of banks shutting down / air traffic control system malfunctions etc. In the end authorities around the world "did their homework" and the dire consequences were averted.

Another "unsexy" usage of PDC, but in the years leading up to the Millennium the authorities did become increasingly concerned about

the possibilities, noting these concerns were expressed worldwide and so equally within the program it was decided that a concerted effort needed to be taken regardless of what "appeared" to be happening.

What this meant was a taskforce was set up to identify and rank "possible" areas of concern (i.e. military units, nuclear facilities, banks, utilities, airports, communications, health providers etc), in terms of their computer equipment and their level of preparedness for Y2K (much of this was being done publicly and so the program simply "piggybacked" onto that research – applying their own parameters where necessary).

From there they designed an extensive "playbook" of prioritised industries and organisations, leaving off institutions / businesses which had an adequate level of preparedness for Y2K, then ranking in descending order a list of those businesses / institutions which the authorities did not consider were adequately prepared – from least prepared down to most prepared (but still of concern).

Then they prepared a campaign of rolling PDC events, commencing at 12:01AM on 1 January 2000 – designed so they could focus all of their attention on the response and effects that particular business / institutions' computers had to the change of millennium (all the while ready to act if necessary).

My grandfather was advised anecdotally (as I say he was out of the program by this time) that the majority of institutions and businesses "performed" well and required only minimal "tweaking" (the most simple response was to instruct that body to take that system offline – then undertake the necessary software repairs – all completed without the need to divulge where the information came from).

He was told of minor issues in some Midwest utilities, resulting in relatively minor outages that were successfully reversed using PDC (i.e. there is no residual record of these events).

In the end, there would appear to be some basis to the claim Y2K was a scam (given the US and other First World businesses and institutions were subjected to a high degree of cost and scrutiny and came through the crisis unscathed – whereas most of the former Eastern Bloc / African / South American and Third World Asian countries did little and also came through largely unscathed – there was a limited focus by the program on how the rest of the world fared, as there was little could be done to change matters over such a short time).

Chapter 26 – 9 / 11 attacks (WTC / Pentagon & United 93)

11th September 2001
8:46AM (13:46 GMT)
New York & Washington USA

Likely the MOST notorious and most famous event in human history, certainly the most notorious captured on film. Everyone (who was old enough or young enough to comprehend the enormity of the event) has a "9/11 story" – where they were, what they witnessed and how it affected them – now hear the true account. In summary, on the morning of 11 September 2001 nineteen Islamist terrorists, representing Al Qaeda and organised directly by Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, hijacked four passenger airliners, all bound for the West Coast of the United States and all carrying at least 40 crew and passengers (not including the hijackers). All four planes were hijacked shortly after take-off. The first to depart (from Logan Airport) was American Airlines Flight 11, which departed at 7.56AM and was flown into the North Tower of the WTC in New York at 8:46AM. The second, United Airlines Flight 175, out of Logan departed at 8:14AM and was flown into the South Tower of the WTC at 9:03AM. The third, American Airlines Flight 77, departed Washington Dulles International at 8:20AM and flew into the western façade of the Pentagon in Washington at 9:37AM. The final flight, United Airlines Flight 93 departed Newark International at 8:42AM and crashed into a field in Pennsylvania at 10:03AM, as the passengers were attempting to subdue the hijackers and re-gain control of the aircraft. It is believed it was intended this flight would target either the White House or the Capital Building (both in Washington). A total of 2996 people lost their lives, including approximately 400 first responders and with people from a total of 62 nations (again not including the terrorist's country of origin).

So much has been said and written about 9 / 11 that I don't know if there is much more to say – other than to explain the obvious.

Obviously PDC was not able to stop the events. As I have explained earlier, stopping an event like a plane crash is quite difficult, you need to know a lot of information in a very short space of time. It is true that in the case of 9/11 the planes had only just taken off and the "cause" of the crashes was known (and was utilised in the case of the fourth plane – United 93 – by assigning a specific agent to be on that plane and he was able to disrupt the terrorists on-board and motivate the remaining passengers to overpower the terrorists, however the terrorists were still able to exert enough control over the cockpit and steer the plane into the ground).

Overall the lack of adequate response from the authorities in relation to 9 / 11 was put down to simple computer error - precipitated by human error – there was just too much going on at once to be able to know where to start and when they did respond it was too late to stop all of the planes.

The source of the problem was determined to be the over-reliance on automation in relation to the program response (as detailed above the program had switched PDC to an automated system in the late 1990's and from then it was up to the computers to monitor human activity on planet Earth and suggest possible PDC interventions as required).

In the case of 9 / 11 it was initially reported a plane had crashed into WTC North Tower and 15 minutes later a second "plane crash" occurred, striking WTC South Tower.

From there everything went pear-shaped – within 100 minutes a third plane had hit the Pentagon, the fourth into a field and both WTC towers collapsed.

The "human response" was rather erratic (which is consistent with what was required – there was a lot going on so humans needed to process and respond quickly).

The computer on the other hand was way too "programmed" – the computers did not "link" the events, the personnel within the program who could have (or should have) were engaged elsewhere and were lulled into a sense of false security – that the program would engage and reverse the events. By the time it was realised this was NOT occurring it was too late to fully reverse all four hijackings. I know this may not please or satisfy everyone, but I don't know any more.

The responses my grandfather was able to obtain from his contact were similarly vague and caused argument – this was exactly the type of event that PDC was supposed to be able to overcome (thousands of

innocent lives ended and affected by the criminal will of a few) – but it didn't.

My grandfather was sceptical, it is known that redundancies were built into the program following 9 / 11, to enable a swift human over-ride, but this was not the case prior to 9 / 11 (at least not from the time the system went automated, with increasing upgrades). You see, this level of "automation" was seen as an additional safeguard to ensure the secrecy of the system (as in – if there are no humans in the system then there is no way a whistle-blower can interrupt or go public with the program), but like many things in life it's the simplest solution that is often the most effective – and vice versa).

It was also suggested that there should be a dedicated command structure – rather than a secret "adhoc" hierarchy comprising the President and similarly ranked intelligence and military personnel (who in the event of such a large scale event as 9 / 11 have obvious difficulty in co-ordinating a response). Such a command structure would require official appointment of personnel to those posts, job titles, job descriptions, offices, superannuation entitlements …. PUBLIC DISCLOSURE – and from what I can see that is never going to happen, so essentially the victims of 9 / 11 can be seen as "collateral damage" to a determination by the authorities to hold onto their "jewel".

Chapter 27 – WMD / the 2nd Irag War / the capture of Saddam Hussein

20th March 2003
8:30PM (23:30 GMT)
Nr Tikrit, Iraq

Saddam Hussein was the leader of Iraq from 16 July 1979 to 9 April 2003 (initially as Prime Minister and then as the self-declared President). He rose through the Ba'ath Party in Iraq to a position of power following assassination attempts in 1959 and a coup in 1968, with Saddam assuming leadership of the party and the country in 1979. Saddam ruled Iraq ruthlessly for over 20 years, with the benefit of the seemingly endless revenues generated by the "rivers of oil". He was closely aligned with the USSR and came to world-wide notoriety on 2 August 1990 when Iraq invaded neighbouring Kuwait sparking the 1st Gulf War. Iraq eventually withdrew and agreed to a stringent UN weapons inspection and checking regime, ending in the alleged discovery of "Weapons of Mass Destruction" (WMD) and to the invasion of Iraq by a limited international force ostensibly backed by UN Security Council Resolution 1441 on 20th March 2003, dubbed "shock and awe" and with the Iraq Army and government collapsing within weeks. Saddam Hussein went into hiding and was eventually captured hiding in a foxhole near his hometown of Tikrit in Iraq on the evening of 13 December 2003. He was detained, tried, convicted and executed (by hanging) on 30 December 2006.

.

Everyone would recall the furore created by the intelligence community in relation to "Weapons of Mass Destruction" (WMD) in the lead-up to the Second Gulf War. It was one of the primary stated reasons for the invasion (along with the allegation that he had links to Al Qaeda).

The trick was there were actually WMD in Iraq and it was intended by the authorities within the program to use PDC on a "search and

destroy" type mission. As background, Iraq had previous "form" in producing, stockpiling and using WMD (chemical weapons at least, also in relation to attempts to develop a nuclear program – which had previously been thwarted by Israel some years earlier).

It was therefore seen as a fait accompli (pardon the pun) that the US and British Forces, under the strident direction of their leaders (BUSH and BLAIR) could assert that Hussein was producing and stockpiling more WMD, in direct contravention of UN Resolution 1441 (which had been secured by unanimous vote of the UN Security Council in November 2002) – calling on Iraq to disarm.

The problem came with providing "evidence" of WMD being produced and stockpiled by Iraq (as I say there was "evidence" in the form of PDC records but these had not been acted on at the time of discovery by the authorities and once a decision had been politically engineered, it was too late and the WMD had been moved). It was therefore felt that the best way to approach the issue was to obtain resolution from the UN, then invade, then use PDC to "re-discover" the WMD and unveil that to the world – thereby proving the "end justifies the means".

As I say the main problem with PDC is its limited "end zone" – the authorities simply could not afford to find the WMD again without the ability to neutralise them immediately – hence the need to invade THEN find the WMD.

The actual invasion, using an initial campaign of "shock and awe" bombing went relatively smoothly, the army fell within weeks and thereafter the search began for Hussein and his deputies in the Ba'ath Party. In the period from March to December 2003 the program put in a concerted effort to locate Hussein in particular – with more than a dozen raids staged with the assistance of PDC – all unsuccessful – before the final (successful) raid on 13th December 2003 under "Operation Red Dawn".

On that day two target sites were raided, as it was suspected that Hussein was beginning to "catch on" that there was a secret weapon or informant – given the pin-point targeting of raid sites, both during the hunt for WMD and for Hussein himself and on the other side, given the lack of success of the search for WMD and the ongoing ability of Hussein to "just get out in time".

It may be that Hussein had gotten wind of the program as early as 1969 – through his intelligence connections (we will never know).

Chapter 28 – the killing of Osama Bin Laden

2nd May 2011
1:30AM (20:30 GMT)
Just outside Abbottabad, Province, Pakistan

Osama Bin Laden (OBL), one of the most "notorious" human beings in history, was born on 10 March 1957 in Saudi Arabia and died on 2 May 2011 – aged 54 years. In his lifetime OBL founded one of the most loathed organisations in history (Al Qaeda). Born into a life of privilege, but most likely very little real "power" (given he was the son of his billionaire father's tenth wife, whom the father divorced shortly after OBL was born and therefore OBL only stood to inherit a small portion of his father's estimated $5 Billion dollar wealth upon his father's death in 1967). OBL attended university until 1979 when he joined the Mujahideen and began his "life of terrorism", fighting the Soviets in Afghanistan, helping to fund the organisation and then forming Al Quaeda in 1988 before going on to commit some of the most reviled and published events in history, including the 9/11 attacks on the United States (see above). What followed was a ten year intensive "manhunt" focused solely on finding and eliminating OBL and culminating in his discovery and execution in a United States Navy SEAL Operation code-named Operation Neptune Spear. OBL's likely location was tracked to the north-east region of Pakistan and preparations were made over a number of months, including creating and simulating the capture / elimination of OBL. When his location was narrowed PDC was used to "join the dots". Nothing was left to chance, with vast resources expended in the preparations (and also with a view to the publicity "aftermath").

It is public knowledge that the authorities knew of the probable whereabouts of OBL from August 2010 and as a consequence they had months of surveillance, planning and role playing with mock-ups of the compound prior to the actual mission.

What is not public knowledge is the role PDC played in these events.

The "walk-in informant" information was in fact a cover story, as was the long winded explanation of the link between OBL and a fictitious "courier" named Abu Ahmed al-KUWAITI. PDC had determined the

likely whereabouts of OBL some months in advance of the purported walk-in (for which the informant was allegedly paid $25 Million USD) and the entire Kuwaiti, while essentially true, was vastly exaggerated to provide adequate cover for the real intelligence gathering source (PDC)

It was PDC that allowed the authorities to rigorously plan and re-plan the mission to ensure every possible scenario was acted out and "red-teamed" to the nth degree. In the end it all went smoothly and while the authorities had their "finger on the trigger" to reverse, it is my understanding that the actual taking of the compound and the killing of OBL was the "first skin".

This was all done at a time well after my grandfather's retirement and nearing the time of his death, so our direct knowledge of the planning and decision process is limited, but the Event Log shows multiple uses in the period and our "inside" information is that one of the main sticking points was whether or not to attempt to capture OBL or simply kill him (as was made public President OBAMA was in favour of a capture and a trial, whereas the intelligence and military favoured an execution – figuring there was more than sufficient evidence to sustain a guilty verdict and a death sentence in the "court of public opinion"). In the end the military, who carried out the operation (and not the President) won.

Chapter 29 – the capture and killing of Muammar Gaddafi

20th October 2011
6:30AM (04:30 GMT)
Sirte Libya

Muammar Gaddafi was Dictator and Leader of Libya, an oil rich country in Nthn Africa on the edge of the Mediterranean Sea from 1 Sept 1969 to July 2011. Gaddafi, a military officer and former Islamist revolutionary, was instrumental in the formation of the Free Officers Movement which staged a military coup, occupying airports, police barracks and other govt and communications posts in the main Libyan cities, overthrowing the (absent) King Idris (and his family). He founded the Libyan Arab Republic, which then ruled Libya from 1969-2011. Protests began in Feb 2011 as part of the "Arab Spring" (commencing in Tunisia two months earlier). Gaddafi publicly supported the Tunisian President (while still in power) and protests in Libya grew, culminating in the Libyan Army opening fire on a large protest in Benghazi and killing hundreds. UN Sanctions followed and in June 2011 an arrest warrant was issued for Gaddafi. He fled to Sirte in western Libya, one of his few remaining strongholds, where on the morning of 20 October 2011 Gaddafi (under heavy surveillance at the time) attempted to leave as part of a military / civilian convoy. NATO bombers attacked the convoy, killing dozens and causing Gaddafi and a small band to flee to a nearby villa, where he was attacked, captured and killed (on camera) by local militia.

After the success of the mission to capture and / or execute Osama Bin Laden that motivated the authorities to repeat the process with Muammar Gaddafi, who was technically still the ruler of Libya at the time of OBL's death, although he did go into hiding and it was necessary to use PDC to pinpoint a "likely" location and then send in the troops and bombers (in a similar fashion to what they had done during the Second Gulf War – in obtaining UN Resolutions to act, then use PDC to locate Gaddafi and then "pull the trigger" on the bombers

and troops).

It worked out perfectly (for all except Gaddafi, his family and his henchmen). Notch up another successful (and justifiable) use of PDC.

Chapter 30 – other events / ”misses”

I made the point earlier, but I will say again, the above-mentioned events are far from an exhaustive list of the PDC usages – it records a mere 20 events (some of which did involve multiple PDC usages) – but they are all “public events” of which most of you will be familiar and many of which are shrouded in mystery and conspiracy theories. In the period from 1961 to present (2018) there have been many many minor usages of the program, too numerous to mention individually and quite frankly not serving any purpose to the public discourse to do so.

The Event Log, designed and installed by my grandfather as part of the “backdoor” into the program, records every usage of PDC since its inception in late 1960.

There have been less than 2500 usages of PDC in that period, meaning that in actual fact every human being born since 1960 has actually lived up to three years longer than their actual age, including those number of days and partial days they have unknowingly “repeated”. As detailed separately, the vast majority of these usages have been related to intelligence agency and military events or testing procedures and while the event log shows the usage it often does not contain enough information to identify what the actual “event” was they sent the PDC for.

On the other side, there have been many world events where the PDC either was not used or the event itself was not captured by the PDC (i.e. it was sent but the event itself fell outside the PDC period and could not be altered).

As stated, the first official usage of the program was in relation to the United States’ military incursion into Cuba in April 1961 (the “Bay of Pigs”). It is well known that the planning for this mission commenced inside the CIA in 1960 and as I have explained earlier (and not well known until this point) it was also due to the involvement and potential for usage of PDC that pushed this mission forward.

Concurrent with this planning was a developing situation in the Republic of the Congo, under the Prime Ministership of Patrice LUMUMBA from June to September 1960.

For those not familiar, the Congo was a colony of Belgium until 1960 and then went through a period of civil upheaval lasting several years. Patrice Lumumba courted both the United States and the USSR to provide support to his fledgling rule, before he was captured and summarily executed (only days before John F Kennedy was sworn in as POTUS – a move which the international community speculated would have led to his release) and it has long been speculated that the CIA and / or MI6 (the British equivalent) were involved in the execution.

I can say that they were "testing" the system at this time (17 January 1961) but its usage was in its infancy and the "end zone" possible at that time was unusable (i.e. they were not able to send a message back far enough to be of any value). If Lumumba were assassinated, then PDC did not directly play a part in that event, although my grandfather did suspect that PDC was involved in the death of Dag HAMMARSKJOLD, the Secretary General of the United Nations, who died mysteriously in a plane crash while enroute to negotiate a cease fire in the Congo on 18 September 1961. This was not a "targeted" event, but appears on the log to have been an event the authorities attempted (unsuccessfully) to reverse (again due to the limited end zone).

Another early event with PDC involvement was the South Vietnamese Coup in November 1963, deposing the President Ngo Dihn DIEM (later executed), through information provided by the CIA (and noting a "false start" to the coup, resulting in the death of a Diem loyalist, Captain Ho Tan QUYEN). This was a rather complex "planned" event, similar in design to Bay of Pigs – noting the authorities at the program were aware of the planning through CIA sources and "assisted" by "paving the way" using PDC, but they did not do the actual planning (which was done internally in South Vietnam). The event itself was less publicised than Bay of Pigs and so it does not bear any detailed description here (as were a number of other usages connected with the Vietnam War – some of which had military significance but not to any great extent).

My grandfather also noted an unusually high number of PDC tests and training exercises during the latter half of the 1960's and into the 1970's, coinciding with the capture and execution of a number of political rivals of the President of the Democratic Republic of the

Congo (as it was renamed in the 1960's), being renamed again by the President, Joseph MOBUTU as Zaire in 1971. Mobutu had close ties with President NIXON in the early 1970's, as well as George H.W. BUSH (who went on to become President in 1989, after serving as Vice President from 1981 to 1989). One event in particular in 1974 coincided with Mobutu cancelling a scheduled visit to Moscow at the last minute, which my grandfather speculated occurred because Mobutu was notified via PDC of an intended assassination attempt (not confirmed).

What is known is that relations between the United States and Mobutu deteriorated in the same period and my grandfather suspected that Mobutu was beginning to "catch on" (i.e. it appears he had begun to question the continuing fortuitous nature of the information being provided to him by the CIA – noting he even began accusing the CIA of plotting to overthrow him in 1975 – at a time when Nixon had left office, replaced by President Gerald FORD).

On 8 June 1967 the United States Navy technical research vessel, the USS Liberty was attacked by air and sea while proceeding in international waters off Sinia, Egypt, an attack which was later identified as being perpetrated (by mistake) by the Israeli military but was initially thought by the President at the time (Lyndon JOHNSON) to have been the work of the Soviets and so while he was distracted in that response the opportunity to effectively use PDC to accurately target the event and avert the disaster was lost.

In January 1968 the USS Pueblo, a small US Naval vessel, was captured by the North Korean Navy and taken, along with its crew to the North Korean capital, Pyongyang. This had followed a separate incident two days earlier, known as the Blue House Raid, where North Korean commandos had crossed the DMZ and attempted to assassinate the South Korean President, Park CHUNG-HEE.

The Blue House raid itself was not a PDC event, but they did try to use PDC. The problem was that the time "bounce" possible in January 1968 was insufficient to allow for the message to be sent back in time and then communicated from the United States to the South Korean authorities, given the sub-standard of land-based communications at that time and also the language barriers. They made a second attempt but it was as unsuccessful as the first, because the "return" communication (to notify the program authorities that their first attempt had been unsuccessful) took longer to be received than the initial message. It was later discovered that the raid itself had gone ahead but was unsuccessful in achieving their objective (to assassinate

the SK President). As a result it was initially "claimed" as a successful PDC event, but later determined via diplomatic channels that the message played no part in averting the attack.

Much the same was the case two days later, with the USS Pueblo, because the authorities were still in the process of analysing the Blue House raid and they did not recognise the capture of the USS Pueblo as a second potential "target event" and so no PDC was deployed.

Another "miss" was the Kent State shootings on 4 May 1970 on the campus of the Kent State University in Ohio, USA, when a detachment of Ohio National Guardsmen opened fire on a protesting group of university students, killing four and injuring nine others. The event log shows the shootings were logged and considered for PDC, however it is recorded that decision makers "at the highest level" took the decision NOT to deploy PDC (apparently the reasoning was that PDC was not considered for the fire which destroyed the campus ROTC on 2 May 1970 – resulting in the deployment of the National Guard – and so it was thought that the student shootings did not "warrant" the intervention of PDC – a partisan "tit-for-tat" argument – given the program was administered at the time by the military and it was strongly felt that if it wasn't good enough to consider PDC to save an ROTC installation, then it should not be considered to save the innocent lives of protestors protesting against that installation).

Technically the Chernobyl meltdown on 26 April 1986 was a "miss" by the PDC program, although at no time did they consider sending a message to thwart the event from occurring (as it occurred in the Soviet Union).

Also, technically the grounding of the Exxon Valdez on the Bligh Reef in Prince William Sound Alaska on 24 March 1989 resulting in the spilling of more than 10 million US gallons of oil into the environment, the death of countless wildlife and considered one of the world's worst environmental disasters, was a "miss" but again the PDC program authorities were occupied with the ELE event (see above).

In fact, as detailed above, the Exxon Valdez disaster was one of the primary "collateral damage" events in 1989 which led to a significant down-scaling of the program which has continued to date.

Many may consider the WACO Texas event in February – April 1993 a PDC "miss", but they would only think so if they didn't have a proper understanding of the PDC program: the siege of the Branch Davidians (a sect of the Seventh Day Adventist Church led by David KORESH) at WACO lasted 51 days and culminated in a fire and the death of 76

people (including cult members and FBI Agents). The fact it was the FBI running "the show" (and not the CIA) meant politically it was unlikely the CIA were ever going to "save the FBI's arse", they weren't in on the planning, they obviously watched the execution and presumably could have intervened, but didn't. Past that I cannot comment.

In another event, at 9.02am on 19 April 1995 a truck bomb was detonated in front of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, USA, known as the "Oklahoma City bombing". Using PDC to assist (with information passed to the law enforcement authorities from the program) local police were able to apprehend one of the bombers but they were NOT able to avert the actual event because PDC was off-line due to meteor shower activity impeding the PDC communications for part of the target period (i.e. meaning that they simply were not able to get back far enough with sufficient information to stop the entire event, only lessen the impact afterwards and assist in apprehending those responsible).

A similar problem, in collusion with a simple "brain explosion" by program personnel, also led to the death of Diana, Princess of Wales in the Pont de l'Alma road tunnel in Paris, France at 12.23am on 31 August 1997 not being properly recognised and targeted for PDC, because initially the program personnel mis-read the reports, believing that while she had been involved in the accident and others had died (the driver – Henri Paul and Diana's male partner at the time – Dodi Al-Fayed), it was their understanding that she had escaped death or serious injury because of the air-bags in the vehicle. Unfortunately this was only half true, she had escaped death in the actual accident, but she died less than 4 hours later in hospital. It was the body guard, Trevor Rees-Jones who survived because of the air-bags.

Almost simultaneously on 7 August 1998 twin truck bombs were detonated by Al-Quaeda linked terrorists in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania and Nairobi, Kenya, again these were carried out while PDC was "off-line" (for maintenance) and the simultaneous nature of the events led to a number of significant human analytical errors, rendering the PDC worse than useless. It should be noted that it was following these events that the authorities moved to an increasingly automated system.

Another significant "miss" was the outing of Kim Philby as a spy in 1964, which was not technically a PDC target event, but it did lead the authorities to focus on both military and intelligence targets, to the exclusion of other humanitarian target events at that time. The

authorities were already recognising the value of PDC as a training tool, but it was the Philby Affair which allowed them to conduct much deeper analysis of just "how" PDC may have been able to be used to assist in gathering information and analysing activities of their operatives and potential spies, both in identifying events that PDC could be deployed to "take a closer look at" (i.e. to potentially identify a spy or double agent) and also to "test" the authenticity and loyalty of their existing networks (later termed red teaming).

Interestingly my grandfather always thought one of the main architects of the "structure" and essentially the "plan of attack" of the program (i.e. what they set out to influence and what they ignored) was a CIA counter intelligence expert with links to Kim Philby. As I have stated I am not going to name this person (as I have no direct evidence and my grandfather had limited evidence of his involvement), but if he were involved then it is an interesting aside that he would have then been able to draw on that personal knowledge in designing and guiding the path of the program for decades.

Other events for which PDC was used but "after the event", as a peripheral tool to assist in the post-incident investigation were the London Underground Bombings on 7 July 2005, where due to delays and technical difficulties relating to confusion in the initial reporting (around whether they were actual attacks or power surges) in the end it was not possible to message back prior to the actual bombings, but it was possible for the authorities to monitor progress from afar and provide valuable guidance in identifying those responsible and defusing further planned attacks.

A similar situation arose following the Boston Marathon bombings, where it was not initially identified as a "serious" event, however after blanket media coverage PDC kicked into gear and provided very valuable information leading to the apprehension of the perpetrator, Dzhokhar TSARARNAEV (hiding in a boat in a suburban backyard).

As I say, analysis of the Event Log shows that one of the primary uses of PDC to date has been in re-creating, analysing and manipulating highly controlled (or controllable) intelligence and / or military situations (red teaming). Discussion of such events is not of any relevance in this manuscript, but I can say that it appears that over decades PDC has proven to be a very valuable information tool, resulting in a number of confirmed "tango downs".

A final "target skin" or event which passed without PDC involvement

(rather to my grandfather's amusement for its sense of irony) was the death of John F Kennedy Junior in a plane crash off the coast of Massachusetts USA on 16 July 1999, for the fact that it involved a Kennedy (the son and heir of the first PDC "victim" no less), it was a preventable event and the President at the time (Bill CLINTON – who I believe only used PDC on two occasions – for terrorist related events) appeared to take a personal interest in the matter (reportedly contacting Kennedy family members direct to console them) yet he chose NOT to attempt PDC to reverse the event (my grandfather always shook his head over this one – wondering about the nature of the conversation between Clinton and Ted Kennedy – who, as described above, owed his own life to PDC – in what my grandfather considered was the most "selfish" use of the program in its relatively short history).

PART 4 – the “future” (and your role in it)

Chapter 31 – the way I see it.

I would like for this technology to be publicly identified and explained and then a system devised by which EVERYONE can have input, via their elected representatives, into how and when and why PDC is used.

I am aware that this creates its own set of “problems” (or “chaos” when you think about it), but to do otherwise is to effectively mean that not only does the program go un-recognised now but the longer it takes to reveal the less likely that is to occur, because those who are benefiting from the program now will become more and more comfortable with that situation and also less and less “able” to release the information without serious repercussions (Russian revolution style).

Analysis of the use of the program to date via the Event Log surprisingly reveals very minimal “bias” in the usage, if you discount the fact that the United States controls the program and uses it EXCLUSIVELY for events either in its direct interest or avoiding usage for events which may be to its detriment (eg, Chernobyl, as discussed).

In sheer terms of numbers, the majority of usages have been related to intelligence agency events (reversing inadvertent disclosures to foreign powers, also “training” exercises where an agent will be sent into a “live event” safe in the knowledge that if the situation goes bad then it can be swiftly and effectively reversed) second are military exercises (again for reversals and training, but also more often to “test” foreign adversaries’ security and military procedures), third is the regular testing of the process, just out of the placings and a long distance back are humanitarian events, which number around 200 usages only in the 56 years of operation of the program (and 40 years of “serious” operation following the launch of the Voyager missions) and finally in LAST place are “personal” usages by personnel (including my own family) directly linked to and with full knowledge

of the true nature of the program.

I have not listed any of these personal usages out of respect, but also because I do not consider it is fair, given the recipients are not able to defend themselves. There is simply no percentage in them doing anything but denying all knowledge and/or usage of the program and given all but one of the PDC events are unpublished and therefore un-checkable I do not feel it serves any purpose in advancing my desired outcome (to have these same people “release” their grip on probably the most valuable human prize EVER).

And make no mistake, my brother and I have thought about this long and hard, we cannot think of another human invention which either had or has the future potential to influence, affect and benefit humankind more than PDC.

Think about it, better still Google it (and let others do all the thinking for you), you will come up with ground-breaking devices; the wheel, fire (more of a “discovery” than an invention), the compass, electricity, the engine and the printing press, as well as the internet.

No doubt each of these inventions have changed lives, in terms of making life easier and quicker for all of us, leading to other inventions, disseminating human knowledge and exploration and saving lives.

I would contend that PDC has done all of that without the wider community even knowing it exists and so the potential for the future is unbounded – but ONLY if the public know about the program.

If it remains a (very) closely guarded secret then it will simply pass from President to President in the custodianship of un-named bureaucrats and operated remotely by computers which to date have failed to respond to many many worthy humanitarian events, so I have absolutely zero confidence that it will ever serve any higher purpose than it has done to date if the current situation continues.

For my two cents worth, I strongly feel that full disclosure is the best and safest course of action.

There is a degree of selfishness in this. At the moment, only my brother and I have current knowledge, outside of the un-named authorities (and the computer hardware).

My brother lives a good distance from me and we are not that “close” so there is only intermittent contact and therefore very minimal

chance of an “unexpected (fatal) event” befalling both him and I accidentally (thereby reducing the number of humans “in the know” to just the bureaucrats) but nonetheless there is that chance. It is something that has worried me since the passing of my grandfather. My brother, for what it is worth, is not that bothered and has left the final decision (on whether to disclose the information) to me.

Obviously I have made that choice, which I took for one primary reason – so that humans can benefit rather than detriment from the program, but this requires input and effort from you (and everyone).

Chapter 32 – the way the authorities see it / saw it.

I cannot speak for the current day authorities, as I say I can see no evidence on the Event Log of any targeted PDC usage since late 2016, apart from the testing (the computers maintain a rigorous testing procedure because the information produced by the program NEEDS to be 100% reliable, there is NO way of confirming the information produced, it required IMMEDIATE action and when used "for real" there are almost ALWAYS lives in the balance) but as I have stated, I can reference my grandfather's involvement in the early 1960's, at a time when the program was under human control.

At that time the operators were conflicted on this very question, JFK was in favour of public disclosure, as was his brother (Bobby) but NOT their younger brother Ted, who prophetically was the only brother to benefit from the program (in the Chappaquiddick incident).

Ronald Reagan was also reportedly in favour of the release also but was "warned off", as I have stated.

Others, including Gerald Ford and Jimmy Carter appear to have been simply over-awed by the complexity of the process and / or they simply didn't grasp the non-military applications (or they were too scared to tread on the toes of the military controllers).

In the case of Gerald Ford, it seems he recognised that "fate" is sometimes inevitable (in reference to the fact he lived through two assassination attempts in a very short space of time, occurring very early in his Presidency, both of which were NOT orchestrated "warnings" to him – as in the case of Kennedy and Reagan – and both of which were only "partial" successes in terms of averting the events.

Within the program it was felt that Ford in particular simply didn't trust the authorities, given that he was a member of the Warren Commission and while it would not have been possible for him to have known of the involvement of the program in the JFK

Assassination back in the 1960's when he had ongoing dealings with the FBI and he prepared a biography on Lee Harvey OSWALD it is highly likely that when he assumed the Presidency in 1974 (and he was told about the program and its history) he may well have "put two and two together" (which would be a disconcerting feeling for a President – knowing that it is possible for those around him to simply "do away" with him if he continues to disagree with them and then white-wash over it using the program. Additionally, he may well have thought there was more to his own assassination attempts than was disclosed to him) and there are also his strong religious and Freemasonry beliefs to consider.

I note with some irony reports of a mid 1990's comment by President Ford on the JFK Assassination and the findings of the Warren Commission, where he allegedly commented in a television interview that "we told the truth, we just didn't tell the WHOLE truth" – quite true indeed!

The 1980's were towards the end of my grandfather's direct involvement in the program (although he did still maintain an ability to monitor the usage and information generated), so it is hard to know what has actually occurred in relation to "policy" formulation by the authorities surrounding the program since the 1980's. What is known is that to date its existence has NOT been publicly disclosed, what is also known is that it has (until recently) continued to be used for intelligence, military and humanitarian purposes, but on a reduced scale.

It is safe to assume the authorities will NOT make public admission or disclosure of the program without being forced (by weight of public inquiry and demand) to do so and I note that if you look back through those who have wielded the power within the DoD, the CIA and the White House since the inception of the program there is a high degree of "collusion" and vested interest – the same names keep cropping up again and again – my grandfather had a term for this, but I will not repeat it.

Suffice it to say that I feel that the former Presidents who have knowledge of the program will NOT release information on their knowledge of the program out of simple "self-interest" – as it would inevitably lead to such a degree of analysis and scrutiny (of who did what, when and why – why they did use PDC for this event and why they didn't use PDC for that event) – that it would render their name and legacy "mud" forever more.

I count ten former Presidents in this number (ranging back to JFK), five of whom are dead and five are still with us. Of those gone it is probably Johnson whose post-presidency actions are the most interesting. According to my grandfather it was felt within the program that Johnson handled the early stages of PDC both the "best" and the "worst" – at the same time, in that he averted the K-129 nuclear disaster with effective use of PDC but otherwise made no humanitarian usages whatsoever during his six year term.

It must have also been a cause of great concern to him the events of 18 July 1969 (Ted Kennedy and the Chappaquiddick incident) and 17 June 1972 (Watergate) – noting that at the time of his death on 22 January 1973 and in the months leading up to his death there was increasing scrutiny on the office of the President, including revelations of a secret recording system inside the Oval Office.

Within the program it was speculated that concern over the potential uncovering of PDC by those investigating Watergate greatly contributed to President Johnson's heart condition and failing health in the short period post his presidency.

There was a more sinister school of thought, given the timing of his death (only two days after Nixon was sworn in to commence his second term – noting PDC was NOT involved) but it was speculated that perhaps there was some by-play between Nixon and Johnson in relation to the program that did contribute to his death. As I say, this is entirely speculation and the Event Log reveals no use of PDC relating directly to President Johnson's death.

Note, I have not included Trump in this list as he is not a "former" President and as I say the Event Log tends to indicate he has not been informed of the program (to date). We no longer have any link to the program, so I am not able to gain any background in that respect.

UPDATE – Over the past 18 months, since Trump became President and since the last PDC usage on the Event Log I have noticed an increasingly aggressive stance being presented by the United States' natural enemies – Russia, China, North Korea and more recently Syria and Iran – and I speculate that it has been the authorities continued use of PDC for intelligence and counter intelligence operations up until recently which has kept this "aggression" in check – in that the fact the United States have historically (at least in the last 50 years) been able to stay "one step ahead" of these super powers (with the aid of PDC) has kept them on the back foot and lacking in a sufficient degree of confidence to push forward to any degree with their agendas

(such as the testing of ICBMs or constructing fake islands in the South China Sea).

How do you see it? This is not for me to say, this is for the world to decide. Do you want to keep the "status quo" and allow the program to continue, unremarked and unimpeded? Or do you want to "know"? Perhaps to allow others (maybe even yourself) to benefit? Or perhaps just to ensure that others are not disadvantaged (or are disadvantaged, in terms of certain "enemies of the state")?

I note there is no way of "evidencing" the program, apart from a frank admission by those who know of and control the program, which at this time may or may not include the President of the United States.

As discussed at length, I feel there is sufficient evidence of the institutions and processes I have explained earlier in this document (all of which can be verified by your own studies), I also point to recent evidence released in relation to the JFK shooting, which strongly suggests someone in the program sought to release details of JFK's assassination shortly before it occurred (noting that back in 1963 the FTL "end zone" was very short, hence those involve were only able to send messages a very short distance back in time) as well as the 2011 (now discredited) demonstration of FTL by European scientists.

I shudder to think of the possibility of sole control for the program falling into the hands of other world powers (such as Russia, China or North Korea), or darker powers such as the Mafia, the various Asian crime gangs, the South American & Mexican drug cartels or perhaps the Russian and Eastern bloc "Mafia" groups. Then darker still is the threat posed by ISIS and the Taliban (and other affiliated terrorist organisations), but perhaps worst of all is the likelihood that the program would end up exclusively in the domain of the Mark Zuckerbergs, Elon Musks and Jeff Bezos's of this world, who would commercialise the program and take it right back to where it is now (i.e. restricted to the select few who can afford to pay).

The problem, as I see it, is that while there are currently around 7.5 Billion people on Earth and therefore 7.5 Billion lives being "played out", kind of like 7.5 Billion television shows, all playing concurrently, but all shown on just ONE SCREEN (Earth – and the rest of the Universe for that matter).

Under the current system of usage, there is basically just one office

that decides on actual PDC “events” (i.e. when to use the program to send a message back in time), but it is an automated computer system which gathers and analyses the information.

What this means is that is it the computers which are the arbiters of what is and what is not “worthy” of consideration for a PDC event and as detailed above there have been a number of significant “misses” – most notably the death of Princess Diana, which was infinitely preventable if the program had have been used and monitored in a proper manner “in the light of day”, rather than done in a remote clandestine manner. Another event influenced, but only partially, was 9 / 11, which may have been fully averted if not for the level of automation and the lack of manual over-ride built into the system at the time.

I have presented the information, I have provided the evidence and the influenced events, all of which can be checked out. I have put forward my opinions, on the program, on the influenced events, their worthiness and the overall success (or otherwise) of the program to date. Personally I feel the program has been “reasonably” successful to date (much like the existence of human beings on Earth), but I feel there is much more can be achieved.

It is up to you.

On review of this document, I feel it is necessary for me to “re-visit” the Mandela effect (the phenomenon of collective false memory) – noting I am now moving out of the realm of known “fact” and into my own thoughts and suspicions.

I note the PDC Event Log does NOT record any PDC involvement in relation to Nelson Mandela at ANY time – i.e. based on my intimate knowledge of the event log in the period from 1961 to current there is no evidence to corroborate the collective false memory that Nelson Mandela died in prison in the 1980s, yet then lived on for three decades, becoming one of the most celebrated / studied humans ever (excluding Jesus, Mohammad, Hitler and possibly Donald Trump).

Interestingly however I do feel the “Mandela effect” is real – I note that one explanation of the Mandela effect is that the Earth has shifted into an “alternate reality”, with these collective false memories constituting remnants of the previous reality embedded in some people’s sub-conscious.

Interesting but very far-fetched. I do NOT prescribe to the view that we have shifted into an alternate reality, but I do feel my (almost) unique knowledge of Earth time does indicate PDC may be a factor in these collective false memories, i.e. I feel it is entirely possible that each time PDC is used and the future is “altered” then “remnants” of the switch remain embedded in the sub-conscious of at least some (and perhaps all) humans.

To my knowledge this specific phenomenon has not been addressed by the authorities at any time, certainly there is no evidence of any adjustment to the process to account for it, but it was observed in 1989 that when PDC was used multiple times to avert a significant event (see the chapter on ELE above) then it did appear to throw the normally observed universal systems off balance – resulting in a number of unusual and significant events occurring in that timeframe. As a result the usage of PDC was restricted and it has remained so to date, noting the “testing” regime has continued and I feel this is where the “Mandela effect” is derived from – this intermittent but persistent switching of time, it’s affecting our collective sub-conscious.

I also note (with great irony) that a popular explanation of our excursion into a “parallel universe” is the experimentation conducted

at CERN to allegedly attempt to discover black holes, multi-dimensions etc – I literally laugh each time I think of how close to the truth these theories are, while at the same time being almost completely off track (in terms of attempting to explain what is almost certainly a human-induced phenomenon by using a "para-normal" conspiracy theory).

For mine it is the final evidence, of human ingenuity and also of the infinite "drag" of nature back to balance by natural forces.

I don't know what it means, but I certainly think it warrants investigation (?) and for that to occur we (as a collective) require knowledge of the process – and here it is.

Throughout the planning and preparation of this document I have tried to remain as "objective" as possible. I do not come from a background of science (or of policy design and development for that matter), I am not a scholar and I am not a journalist. I am a "man on the street", I have tried to explain the process as best as it was explained to me and I have tried to relay the events as advised to me by my grandfather, notwithstanding the fact that he would have NEVER agreed to the preparation of this manuscript. The names and events are identified to the best of my knowledge and belief, based on the information supplied by my grandfather. If I have made any mistakes (and I am sure there are mistakes) then I apologise.

I have read and re-read the manuscript, I do acknowledge it can be difficult to follow in places, I also acknowledge that there will inevitably be shortcomings in my explanations but I will state that the information presented is presented in a manner which I consider to be an accurate account as relayed to me.

Finally, I acknowledge that there is a degree of "opinion" contained in these pages as well, I feel I have earned the right to my opinion, as a member of the public, but also as a "whistle blower" of sorts and as such I wish to remain anonymous.

UPDATE: I am currently preparing to re-release this document following an unsuccessful attempt in 2016, as I say there are no recorded events on the Event Log since the election of Donald Trump in November 2016, however I have noticed certain recent world events which do perhaps suggest the involvement of PDC.

Here I am referring to the North Korea situation and more recently the allegations that Iran is still pursuing a "secret" nuclear program, despite assurances to the contrary, including recent military strikes by

Israel against Iran and the decision of the United States to renege on a 2015 nuclear “agreement”.

In the last 12 months North Korea has been stridently testing and threatening the world with its alleged nuclear capacity and ability to attach a nuclear weapon to an ICBM, thereby allowing it to launch against the United States and Europe. Suddenly their “stance” reversed, now they are talking disarmament deals with South Korea and the United States – why? I can’t confirm it but I suspect PDC is involved.

In another part of the world, Iran had UN sanctions removed following a brokered deal in 2015 but has just been accused by Israel of continuing their program by moving files to a “secret” location in Tehran, based on information gathered by Israeli intelligence sources and even resulting in bilateral military strikes. Again, based on prior history (as detailed above) this sounds very much like a cover story for a PDC operation.

The involvement of PDC in these events is not of concern to me (as I say, with the assistance of PDC the United States have been able to effectively act as the world’s “Guardian” for over five decades), what is of concern to me is that if PDC has been used I no longer have a record of it on my Event Log – meaning they may have bypassed the Event Log – and unfortunately it’s not possible for me to properly investigate this possibility without running a high risk of discovery.

Consequently I feel public disclosure leading to an admission and a thorough and proper public investigation is the only “safe” course of action.

Epilogue

At the time of production of this manuscript there remains no evidence that the PDC program or our “back door” to the program has been comprised – so there is hope yet! (And we are still here!)

I will close with an observation from Marilyn Monroe, who may well have lived (with the assistance of PDC) had the program been in operation for a longer period – due to the fact that by the time her death was published and came to the attention of the authorities (i.e. the President) it was well past the very finite message “bounce” available at that time and as a result it was not possible to get a message back to anyone “before” her death, in order to prevent her overdosing.

“I feel like I’ve dreamed half of my life that hasn’t happened yet,
so a lot of times I’m going along,
and I do stuff,
and I know that I’ve done it.
I have déjà vu’s more than I have regular experiences.
If half of your day is a déjà vu,
then you start to wonder,
What is real and what isn’t?”

It makes you wonder what they were doing in the basement at the White House,
Doesn’t it?

So now to the analysis of the document and information presented in the document.

PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF THE SOURCE DOCUMENT

The document (SD) itself is re-printed here in its entirety, we have simply re-formatted, cut and pasted the document into our report.

The SD was received via email in Word format on 15 May 2018. As stated, there were no other documents or explanations provided with the SD and no identification in the document properties, which simply indicates it was created by "Owner".

The SD is 135 pages long and contains almost 37000 words.

The bio indicates it was first created in October 2015, but there is no way of determining the level of editing or any other details in relation to the actual creation of the document.

We note there is no reference to the identity of the author of the SD contained ANYWHERE in the document, in fact it says a number of times in the document that the creator specifically does not wish to be identified and they released the document solely on that basis (of anonymity).

ORIGIN OF THE SOURCE DOCUMENT

TCR received the document by gmail from a male identified on the email as Michael SMITH (Michaelknows001@gmail.com) – referred to herein as "the Author".

Michael provided no further identification or means of identifying him, he specifically advised that he did NOT wish to be identified and that he provided the Source Document for use by Barry McGaw (The Conspiracy Report Founder) in any way he sought fit ON THAT BASIS.

Michael did acknowledge that "Michael Smith" is not his real name and so we have no viable means of identifying him and in keeping with our stated agreement (via email) we have not made further attempt to identity "Michael".

Further attempts to contact Michael have been unsuccessful, as his email address is now deleted and we have no other contact details.

Similarly we are not able to identify "Michael's" grandfather or

confirm he is in fact deceased (as Michael has alleged in the SD).

As detailed above, we are not able to further determine the origin of the document.

INQUIRIES TO DETERMINE ORIGIN OF SOURCE DOCUMENT

TCR have not been able to conduct any inquiries to determine the actual “origin” of the SD, apart from those listed above (reference to the
gmail from Michael Smith and the fact the document was created in October 2015).

OWNERSHIP / USAGE OF THE SOURCE DOCUMENT

The Creator of the SD has provided full ownership and usage rights for the SD to Barry McGaw and The Conspiracy Report, as contained in the emails set out below:

Received from Michaelknows001@gmail.com on 14 May 2018:

That is fine, what you do with the information is up to you, if I decide to send it to you, its yours, do whatever you want with it :)

Received from Michaelknows001@gmail.com on 14 June 2018:

Barry,

Everything I had to say is contained in the document and emails - the document is now yours - you own it and so can do whatever you want with it - I would like to see it published but if you have to sell it as part of that then so be it,

I am not prepared to answer any questions about the process or events and I cannot personally guarantee the information is true and accurate - its just what a grandfather told his young grandson - it certainly seemed true though.

Please do not contact me again.

Michael

INQUIRIES WITH PERSONNEL NAMED IN THE SOURCE DOCUMENT

We note there are a total of twenty (20) persons directly named in the SD as (possibly) having knowledge of the "program" or the actual source of the information generated by the program. They are as follows:

John F Kennedy (deceased)
Richard Bissell (deceased)
Jack Hawkins (deceased)
Captain Charles Maultsby (deceased)
Robert F Kennedy (deceased)
Jack Ruby (deceased)
Lee Harvey Oswald (deceased)
Caroline Kennedy (deceased)
Lyndon Johnson (deceased)
Richard Nixon (deceased)
Edward Kennedy (deceased)
J Edgar Hoover (deceased)
Howard Hughes (deceased)
Gerald Ford (deceased)
Ronald Reagan (deceased)

Jimmy Carter
George HW Bush
Bill Clinton
George W Bush
Barack Obama

No inquiries have been conducted with the estates of the deceased persons.

As can be seen, there are only FIVE (5) persons named as having knowledge who are still alive and they are ALL Ex-Presidents of the United States.

Each of these persons have public websites and lines of inquiry. TCR have forwarded detailed requests to each of these five persons, advising them of our knowledge of the program and requesting response from them in relation to their respective involvement and / or any other comment they may wish to make.

TCR also advised them of our intention to publish the details of the conspiracy in our inaugural report. These inquiries were conducted in early June 2018 and to date there has been no response. TCR identified in the contact that a lack of a response would be considered as implied consent (to publish without concern from them).

In addition there are seven (7) organisations named as having knowledge of or being involved in the development of the program, as follows:

Colombia University
University of Rochester
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Lincoln Laboratories
JASON (Mitre Corporation)
ONR (Office of Naval Research) / DARPA
NASA

Similar requests (to those forwarded to the five Ex-Presidents) were forwarded to these five organisations in early June 2018, with similar notifications and details of our intentions and to date there has been no response from any of these organisations.

.

OTHER DOCUMENTATION

No other documents are presented at this time.

ATTACHMENTS / INSERT

The Source Document is the only (foreign) inserted document in this report.

As discussed, the origin of the Source Document is not able to be verified by TCR.

All other information has been prepared and presented by TCR.

.

OBSERVATIONS / COMMENTS

We have made a number of observations in relation to this matter, as detailed:

The source document (SD) itself does not provide any conclusive evidence to determine who produced the document.

Inquiries to date by TCR have not been successful in determining who produced the document.

We have conducted preliminary internet inquiries in an effort to verify or deny the information presented in the SD.

In relation to the document and information contained in the document, we note there is a "disclaimer" (of sorts) presented on Page 5 of the SD, where the author states "What I am about to reveal to you is the truth (according to my late grandfather)".

At the end of the disclaimer the author also states "This is not fiction, it is based on TRUE events" – TCR acknowledge the "events" detailed in the SD are "true" (in that the majority of the events are widely accepted to have "occurred") – what is not verified is the involvement of PDC.

TCR also note the disclaimer is repeated in the email received from "Michael Smith", who identifies himself as the author of the SD and he states "I cannot personally guarantee the information is true and correct – it is just what a grandfather told his young grandson – it certainly seemed true though".

As previously stated, TCR are not able to identify the grandfather or confirm his alleged links to "a number of public companies and private institutions, including a scientific contract company to ARPA and NASA, as well as other related program companies, in the period from the late 1950's to the early 1990's".

TCR are also not able to verify the grandfather's alleged link to the Pioneer and Voyager Space Missions (although to be accurate the SD states the grandfather was only involved in Pioneer in a "peripheral manner", stating this was the "primary reason" for the inception and development of the Voyager Space Missions (i.e. not directly linking the company to Voyager).

In relation to the information contained in the SD there are a number of relevant observations made by TCR.

A number of persons are named and EACH of these persons exists as a "real person".

To date not ONE of these persons has confirmed of verified the information presented in the SD. TCR do not intend to make comment on any of these persons.

There are also a number of organisations named and again EACH of these organisations exists as a "real organisation".

To date not ONE of these organisations has confirmed or verified the information presented in the SD. TCR do not intend to make comment on any of the organisations.

A number of deceased persons are also named and obviously no inquiries are possible directly with these persons.

No inquiries have been conducted with the estates of these persons, given the nature of the information and the deduction that if such information existed within their estate (diaries etc) then this information would already be in the public domain. TCR do not intend to make further comment on these persons.

There is reference to a number of other UN-NAMED persons in the SD.

We do not feel it is of benefit at this point to speculate on who these persons may be (noting it is our hope that if the process is publicly verified then at least some of these persons will present themselves publicly and detail their involvement – independent of TCR).

In summary, we are not able to verify anything or confirm anything via the people and organisations named in the TCR – due to the length of time since the inception of the alleged program.

In relation to the document itself, it is presented in a clear and easy to read manner. The author states "he" is a young male with no scientific background.

There is minimal "jargon" in the document and so the explanation of the "program" is straight forward and reasonably easy to understand.

Essentially, what the SD is alleging is that there is in existence a secret "program" operated by the United States Government (CIA and Pentagon) which allows them to alter the past – in what appears to be

a very limited manner (limited to a 24 hour period).

The proposition sounds very “Hollywood”, which is something that is referenced early in the SD (Chapter 2 – What is used and what is time travel).

The proposition (of time travel) is referenced many times in fiction, most often in relation to transporting some form of matter (and most often a human or humans) BACK IN TIME, but also forward in time, as if it is merely a “destination” accessed using a special transport device.

As far as the existence of an actual “real” device which could allow a message to be sent back in time – there is no direct evidence of any such device in the public media.

There are however many references to the “possibility” of a device, dating back over 100 years, to Albert Einstein and others as early as 1905 (Ref: Wikipedia – “Theory of relativity”).

The premise of Einstein’s proposition is the same as described in the SD – basically a device which could send a message ahead of light and “back in time”. The mechanics of the device are not described or speculated on, it is described as a “hypothetical device” and a “thought experiment”.

Google searches also reveal a “paradox” in the proposition, namely that if the message is able to be sent back before it existed then (in their example) it would cease to exist, so according to the scientists it cannot be possible - if we have the explanation of the paradox correct (Ref: Wikipedia – “Tolman’s paradox”).

TCR note there are complex mathematical calculations used to describe and explain the “paradox”, but if we are reading the SD correctly this “paradox” is rendered irrelevant because the transmission of the message essentially “alters” the entire universe (thereby creating a “blank canvass” over-which another reality is drawn and overcoming the paradox).

Other issues come into this, both of which are mentioned in the SD.

The first is the issue of the “butterfly effect”, which is the (para-phrased) notion that a simple event can have wide-reaching and unexpected consequences, first postulated in the 1960’s as part of “chaos theory”. It is impossible to comment further on the possibility that “alleged” PDC may have “butterfly effects” (i.e. un-expected cascading effects) on world events (Ref: Wikipedia – “Butterfly effect”).

TCR note in this regard the author of the SD speculates that events in 1989, where he alleges there were a higher than normal level of "world" events – perhaps contributed to by PDC (in particular the use of PDC to avert an alleged ELE – extinction level event).

TCR are obviously not in a position to comment on (a) whether this ELE did occur, (b) whether PDC was involved, (c) whether this alleged involvement influenced other events in that year or (d) whether this represents a higher than "the norm" number of such "human" events (listing such events as the Exxon Valdez, Tiananmen Square, the fall of the Berlin Wall). We acknowledge there does appear to have been a high level of human disruption in that particular year – reason unknown.

The second issue is the "Mandela effect", which is the notion that a group of un-related humans can experience a "memory" of an event that never actually occurred (citing an alleged group memory of the death of Nelson Mandela in the 1980's, when in fact he lived for more than 20 years after this time) – (Ref: Wikipedia – "false memory").

Again, there is no hard evidence to link any alleged PDC program to such an "effect", noting that neither the program or the effect are proven fact. Further discussion serves no purpose.

There is also the question of the device, alleged in the SD to be the source of the ability for humans to send messages "back in time", thus providing them with the ability to alter those events AFTER they have occurred.

Science fiction identifies the "device" as a "Tachyonic Antitelephone" (which is defined in Wikipedia as "a hypothetical device in theoretical physics that could be used to send signals into one's own past").

TCR acknowledge there is reference in science fiction to a different device, referred to as an "Ansible", which apparently allows for "instantaneous" travel from one point to another (similar to being "beamed up" in Star Trek). This device does NOT fit the description of the device in the SD.

There is NO evidence of either device in actual existence and no mention (by name) of these devices in the SD.

Interestingly, the tachyonic antitelephone device appears to have been initially proposed in fiction by Gregory Benford, an Astrophysicist and Science Fiction writer, who served as a research physicist at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL under Edward Teller)

from 1967 to 1971 (Ref: Wikipedia – "Gregory Benford", IMDb – "Gregory Benford")

LLNL is credited in the SD with conducting initial preparatory experiments and calculations after the initial "discovery" of PDC by JASON in 1959 and prior to the transfer of the program to the Lincoln Laboratories at MIT.

Benford's Wikipedia page also credits him with being an "ongoing advisor to NASA, DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency) and the CIA".

We have NO information to indicate Gregory Benford is in ANY WAY involved in the "program" alleged in the SD.

At this time we have not conducted any inquiries with Mr Benford, as he is not directly named (and neither is his tachyonic antitelephone by name) in the SD.

As far as the actual device is concerned, we note there could be (if the SD is accurate) up to THREE devices.

To explain, radio communication with the Voyager 1 and Voyager 2 spacecraft (V1 & V2) is achieved and maintained via the Deep Space Network (DSN), which is a network of three (3) communications complexes, located at Goldstone near Barstow in California, Near Madrid, Spain and near Canberra, Australia (Ref: Jet Propulsion Laboratory – NASA – Deep Space Network)

Each of these stations has an array of radio antennae, strategically positioned on the Earth's axis to allow for continuous "line of sight" contact with the spacecraft, administered by NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory in California.

The DSN was first developed in 1958 (Barstow), followed by Madrid (1961) and Canberra (1965).

The SD identifies that the PDC was initially achieved on earth, using relays to satellites, then using the development of the Pioneer and Voyager space missions, with the Pioneer solar orbits commencing in 1965 (i.e. corresponding with the development of the DSN and therefore making the technology "possible" – but not proven).

There is information available regarding the publicly disclosed instrumentation aboard Voyager 1 / Voyager 2 but this would appear to be irrelevant to the PDC program, given the explanation in the SD identifies the point of origin of the PDC message is on Earth (i.e. most

likely at one of the three DSN Communications Complexes) and the antenna dish aboard V1 and V2 merely "bounce" the Earth message back to Earth (instantaneously – so as to maintain the message's speed ahead of light) – (Ref: NASA – Jet Propulsion Laboratory – Voyager).

It would appear most likely the Tachyonic Antitelephone (if in existence) is located at Goldstone, where there are a number of antennae.

Presumably the device is linked to one (or more) of these antennae, allowing direct communication with Voyager 1 and Voyager 2.

TCR note that in the instance there is only one device, then this would seriously limit the functionality of the program (given that a PDC message could then only be originated at a time when Goldstone is in direct line of sight with either V1 or V2) – to allow for the timely transmission of a message to the spacecraft – otherwise that transmission could not proceed until Goldstone came "back on line" with either spacecraft and given the highly time sensitive nature of the program (if is it to be believed) then such a delay would appear unacceptable.

TCR therefore speculate there are actually PDC devices at each of the three DSN sites.

Our internet inquiries do not indicate any "real world" reference to the actual construction of such a device.

We note there is no actual photograph, drawing or description of the ACTUAL device (isolated).

There is also no information in the SD as to the actual real time location of the device (or devices).

TCR do not have the scientific background or knowledge to speculate on what such a "device" would need to be able to do (apart from the ability to propel sub-atomic particles carrying the message past the speed of light and to direct that stream of particles at V1 and/or V2).

TCR are therefore unable to speculate on the required size or specifications for a tachyonic antitelephone.

There are a large number of events referred to and detailed in the SD.

We will deal with each of these events individually (in differing detail).

The first influenced event is the Bay of Pigs, occurring on 17 April 1961.

There is a copious amount of internet information in relation to the Bay of Pigs Invasion – with no reference to PDC (obviously), but with many references to false starts, false flags and diversionary operations designed to distract attention.

TCR is not in a position to comment with authority on whether these "mock" operations were designed as such or were perhaps part of a "PDC plan".

TCR does comment that the Kennedys did appear to assume a high level of control for the location and in particular the timing of the operation – which would tend to go towards the "possibility" of PDC involvement (controlled by the President).

TCR are not able to comment further, given there is no specific information in the SD to document the nature of involvement of PDC (i.e. just when and how it was used to alter events) and given the invasion was a "failure".

References – Wikipedia "Bay of Pigs Invasion", Britannica.com, www.cia.gov, Thoughtco.com.

The second event is the Cuban Missile Crisis, which occurred between 16 and 28 October 1962.

There has also been a great deal written and debated about the Cuban Missile Crisis, which in all likelihood was the crowning achievement of the Kennedy Administration.

Again there is no detailed breakdown of just "how" or "when" PDC was used to influence these events, which extended for more than a week.

TCR acknowledge that the diplomatic / strategic nature of the CMC would tend to lend itself to PDC, but there is nothing to confirm the involvement in the public media.

Similarly TCR are not able to comment on the possible PDC involvement in the "Captain Charles Maultsby incident" – which according to the SD did NOT involve PDC.

References – Wikipedia – "Cuban Missile Crisis" etc, Britannica.com, History.com, cubanmissilecrisis.org.

The third referenced event is the assassination of JFK, in Dallas, Texas on 22 November 1963.

This is the event TCR have been able to analyse and it is the event which does (perhaps) tend to suggest the existence of a program (at least) similar in nature to PDC.

The timeline documented in the SD is accurate (in relation to the movements of JFK and the actual shooting).

It is also accurate to say there were two (2) news reports generated by two different news organisations half a world away from each other (and also half a world away from the event) which appeared to have either prior knowledge of or at least unusual knowledge of the circumstances (particularly in the case of the Cambridge News – information which only recently came to light with the publication of further classified documents on the assassination).

The Cambridge News issue (that the newsroom received a telephone call at 12:05PM on 22.11.1963 – 25 MINUTES BEFORE JFK WAS SHOT) is difficult to explain in the "real world" – other than to believe there is a mistake in the timing.

PDC does provide a plausible and logical explanation and given it (the JFK assassination) is the only event where the chronology is described in the SD then TCR do not have other events to compare / corroborate with.

Similarly, PDC are unable to verify the information presented in the SD in relation to this event.

There are dozens and dozens of conspiracy theories in relation to the JFK assassination – but none with a reference to PDC (there are some rather whacky time travel theories – which in no way relate to the information presented in the SD).

TCR are not able to comment further.

References – Wikipedia (various searches), abc.net.au, Jfklibrary.org, History.com, Livescience.com.

Fourth is the sinking of K129, on or about 8 March 1968, which according to the SD was then "influenced" using PDC (allegedly preventing a nuclear holocaust).

There is very little public information concerning this event, which occurred in the Pacific Ocean near Hawaii.

There is no information disclosed in the SD as to the timing of the PDC allegedly used to influence this event, so TCR are not able to provide an analysis of that process.

TCR do agree with the SD in respect to the "PDC explanation" for this event (i.e. that the authorities intervened using PDC to prevent a nuclear strike, thereby providing them with the exact location of the K129) is actually more believable than the official version (that the US authorities basically "lucked" on the location of the sunken submarine within days using SOSUS undersea sonar surveillance, when the submarine was well outside its designated patrol area).

There is also the highly unusual and clandestine involvement of Howard Hughes and the Glomar Explorer in the mid 1970's.

The controversy is heightened in comparison to the continued level of mystery surrounding MH370 (the loss of Malaysian Airlines Flight 370, which disappeared on 8 March 2014 – the same day 46 years later) – which was subjected to a much higher level of surveillance and monitoring than K129 yet its resting place still eludes the authorities.

We note internet references to a missing missile on K129, which would also correlate with the PDC version.

TCR are not able to comment further.

References – Wikipedia – "Soviet submarine K129 (1960)" etc, Allthatsinteresting.com.

The fifth event is commonly referred to as the "Chappaquiddick incident" which occurred in north-eastern United States on 18 July 1969 (just one day prior to the moon landing).

This has been a much hyped and much debated event, but one which very few answers have been forthcoming.

TCR do not have any detailed timeline for the PDC involvement, but as with the K129 event above, TCR do consider the PDC version is somewhat more believable than the official version.

TCR are not able to comment further.

References – Wikipedia – "Chappaquiddick incident", History.com, Bustle.com, Crikey.com.au, Abovetopsecret.com.

The sixth event is the Watergate scandal, occurring on 17 June 1972.

If PDC is to be believed then this event would appear to have been easily overcome with the use of the technology – both in terms of the need to "break-in" and the capture of the perpetrators.

Notwithstanding the disclaimer with respect to the narrow PDC window available prior to 1977 (with the launch of V1 and V2) – noting the actual "end zone" available at that time is not stated anywhere in the SD – TCR would have thought that rather than "breaking in", the authorities (using PDC) could simply task a small group to enter the premises (by force if necessary) – locate and extract the information they were searching for and then use PDC to "erase" their actions.

Furthermore, it should have been relatively easy for the discovery of the "plumbers" unlawful entry on 17 June 1972 to have been reversed (using PDC) – noting the SD identifies the short "end zone" as a factor in this failure.

TCR acknowledge the suggestion that "arrogance" by the authorities played a part in the failure.

TCR are not able to comment further.

References – Wikipedia – "Watergate scandal", History.com, Britannica.com, Watergate.info, Newworldencyclopedia.org, Washingtonpost.com.

We are unable to comment on the seventh referenced "events" (the OPEC Oil Crisis), which occurred over a period of some months in 1973.

The eighth events are the attempted assassinations of President Gerald Ford, on 5 September 1975 and 22 September 1975.

TCR finds these events to be quite strange, in that there were TWO attempts on the life of the most powerful man in the world within three weeks, which no doubt caused concern at the time, but is now barely remembered in popular culture.

In relation to the events, the SD does acknowledge that PDC played only a minimal role.

References – "Gerald Ford assassination attempt in Sacramento" – Wikipedia, "Lynette Fromme" – Wikipedia, "Sara Jane Moore" – Wikipedia, Historyonthenet.com.

The ninth event is the Three Mile Island nuclear disaster on 28 March

1979.

TCR are not able to further comment on this event, other than to confirm the available documentation does indicate there were delays in identifying the "root cause" of the melt-down, which would tend to work against the explained process of PDC.

References – Wikipedia – "Three Mile Island accident", History.com, world-nuclear.org.

The tenth event is the Iranian Hostage Crisis, occurring between 4 November 1979 and 20 January 1981 (on the same day Ronald Reagan was inducted as President of the United States).

This event is another that has not passed the "test of time" in relation to being remembered widely in popular culture, but again TCR suspect would command a much greater attention in today's world, given the scale and location of the events (involving over 50 American hostages, held for over 400 days in the Middle East).

There is no doubt that "if" PDC were available to the authorities then it would have been used for this event – given the nature of the event (with specific targets, a known but mobile location and the high "win / loss" factor – in terms of requiring the authorities to act, in the interests of saving their own citizen's lives).

TCR note the reference to Operation Eagle Claw which does appear to bear an uncanny resemblance to the Bay of Pigs Operation.

Finally, there is the obvious timing issue, with the hostages released just as Reagan is getting sworn in as President.

TCR could easily be convinced of a "higher power" being involved in these events, but there is again no clear evidence.

References – Answers.com, Wikipedia – "Iran hostage crisis", Britannica.com, Encyclopedia.com.

The eleventh event is the attempted assassination of Ronald Reagan on 30 March 1981 in Washington DC.

The timing of this event is quite suspicious, so early in the RR's presidency, but if the SD is to be believed then this fits perfectly with the intention.

TCR find it difficult to believe the authorities could essentially co-opt a madman (Hinckley) to undertake the shooting, but TCR also

acknowledge the SD indicates that PDC was used only to ensure the level of injury to the President was sufficient to provide a warning, but not sufficient to kill or render him unable to continue as the POTUS.

TCR speculate on whether this indicates someone else was responsible for the actual shooting, although it is noted that it is clearly stated in the SD "Hinckley did the shooting".

TCR are not able to comment further.

References – Wikipedia – "Attempted assassination of Ronald Reagan" etc, Nydailynews.com, Worldhistoryproject.org, Thoughtco.com.

The twelfth event is the 1987 "Black Monday" Stock Market Crash, occurring on 19 October 1987 and resulting in severe stock price drops worldwide – commencing in Hong Kong (i.e. many hours before the drops were experienced in the United States).

TCR have not attempted to analyse stock market records to determine individual losses and rises.

TCR do not have access to the buy / sell records to determine specifically who / which companies won and lost on the day.

The thirteenth alleged event is the alleged ELE on or about 24 March 1989.

This is the only listed event which is NOT documented on the internet and hence TCR are only able to complete basic searches in relation to the event.

TCR are therefore unable to comment with any authority on the possibility of an event which the SD admit "never actually occurred" (allegedly thanks to PDC).

TCR are able to confirm the existence (and continued existence – if the internet is accurate) of 4581 Asclepius, which according to reports did indeed pass in relatively close proximity to Earth on 22 March 1989, but there is NO reference to a second asteroid.

TCR are also able to confirm the loss of contact with the Russian Phobos 2 probe in late March 1989 (contact was allegedly unable to be re-established on 27 March 1989).

TCR do find the notion that the inhabitants of Earth were "saved" by PDC the most unbelievable notion in the SD, but the physical evidence

of Asclepius and Phobos is there.

TCR are not able to comment further.

References – Wikipedia – “4581 Asclepius”, Revolvy.com, Wikipedia – “Phobos 2”, Coolinterestingstuff.com.

The fourteenth alleged influence events are the Operation Desert Shield, Desert Storm and Desert Sabre military operations occurring in 1990.

The SD appears to acknowledge that PDC largely did NOT influence the Desert Shield / Storm / Sabre campaigns, so TCR make no further comment.

The fifteenth events are the 1993 World Trade Centre bombing, the bombing of PAL434 enroute from Cebu to Tokyo and the alleged “Bojinka Plot”.

The SD reports these events are “linked” due to the common involvement of Yousef Ramzi.

Chronologically, the “first” WTC bombing occurred on 26 February 1993, five months after Yousef had entered the United States (appearingly with the direct intention of planning and executing a terrorist act).

PDC would appear to be ready made for the advent of the international terrorist.

TCR note there is no reference in the SD to terrorist events “foiled” by PDC, rather it appears to rely on some rather obscure events – of which PAL434 and the Bojinka Plot definitely qualify.

The first WTC bombing was surrounded by confusion and speculation and certainly the event could have been worse (given the devastating consequences of the second WTC “bombing” – 9 / 11) but the SD does not provide any “concrete” details – due to an alleged coinciding leave period involving their “main contact”. TCR are not able to speculate on the identity of this contact of the validity of the information.

The PAL434 event did involve the intervention of an American Air Traffic Controller – perhaps in line with a PDC event, but there is nothing else to suggest PDC involvement.

There is a high degree of speculation surrounding the Bojinka Plot and this information (re PDC involvement) would tend to only increase

that speculation.

References – Wikipedia – “1993 World Trade Center bombing”, “Philippine Airlines Flight 434”, “Bojinka Plot” – History.com, 911memorial.org, Youtube.com, 911review.com, Globalsecurity.org.

The sixteenth event is the Y2K Bug, reported to occur as the world entered the new millennium on 1 January 2000.

It is not possible for TCR to analyse this event, we note internet comments indicating eastern block and third world countries did NOT prepare for Y2K in the same way first world countries did, yet they suffered relatively similar consequences.

The seventeenth referenced event is the 9 / 11 hijackings, occurring on 11 September 2001.

TCR have not analysed this event, as the SD identifies it was NOT influenced by PDC - it may be a case of “the exception proves the rule”.

The eighteenth referenced event is the second Iraqi War and the capture of Saddam Hussein. The Iraqi War occurred in 2003, with Saddam Hussein captured on 13 December 2003 (he was summarily put on trial, convicted and executed).

TCR’s research confirms the timeline as presented in the SD and we also note internet references to conspiracies, but nothing in relation to PDC involvement in these events.

It would appear logical that “informant” information assisted in the capture of Hussein and it does also follow logic in relation to the pursuit of UN resolutions BEFORE the invasion of Iraq in 2003, but no hard evidence is publicly available.

Given Hussein’s long reign and both his documented desire for and usage of biological and chemical weapons (also the earlier involvement with USSR in the development of a nuclear program), it does seem strange and perhaps unlikely that this all ceased in 1991, following the first Gulf War.

The lack of discover of WMD in Iraq during the second Gulf War does also appear to be somewhat of a “turning point” in US / Middle East relations (with the United States no longer “trusted” in that region).

References – Wikipedia (various), indepthinfo.com, Britannica.com, History.com, “Operation Red Dawn” – Wikipedia.

The nineteenth event is the assassination of Osama Bin Laden, occurring on 2 May 2011.

Again, this is a well-documented event, which TCR are not able to add to the already published commentary (certainly we expect there are copious "classified" materials relating to the event, given the large amount of public documentation in relation to the event itself and the pre-planning).

TCR do acknowledge that such a "hunt" (for OBL) and the eventual storming of his compound would appear to be ready made for PDC (or vice versa).

References – Wikipedia – "Death of Osama bin Laden", CBSnews.com.

The final detailed event is the capture and killing of Muammar Gaddafi, occurring on 20 October 2011.

There is less public documentation in relation to the capture and killing of Gaddafi (than Hussein and OBL), given the level of "local" involvement, but again it would appear to be a "readymade" PDC event.

TCR note the initial reports of Gaddafi's whereabouts following the fall of his government (in the period from August to October 2011 – i.e. following the death of OBL – the last reported PDC event) indicated he was in southern Libya, which would now appear to have been deliberate "propaganda" perhaps designed to lull Gaddafi and his cohort into a false sense of security while the United States forces actively "hunted" him with the assistance of PDC (obviously this strategy would work just as well without PDC).

References – Wikipedia "Death of Muammar Gaddafi", "Muammar Gaddafi", Youtube.com, Theguardian.com.

There are also references to a number of other "misses", predominantly relating to events occurring in the 1960's which are simply too long ago to be accurately researched remotely (via the internet).

In relation to the death of Dag Hammaerskjold (whom TCR had never previously heard of) in September 1961, we note the Wikipedia page does reference some level of "conspiracy theories" surrounding the event and even including the CIA. TCR are unable to verify any of this information.

References – Wikipedia (various).

TCR also note the “internal” usage of PDC for testing procedures and “red flag” exercises. TCR are not able to comment on this possibility, as insufficient information is presented.

TCR also note reference to a specific “process” using FTL (faster than light).

The process, explained in the SD, alleges that it was first discovered “by accident” in 1959, naming either the University of Rochester or Columbia University. TCR emailed both universities for any information held by them in relation to a tachyonic antitelephone machine, but received no response.

The actual “process” is not explained, apart from reference to the use of a synchro-cyclotron and a “halo” effect – but no explanation on the scientific importance or otherwise and no details on the actual experiment (so it can be verified / replicated).

This is explained in the SD by the fact the author allegedly does not know the process.

TCR can confirm that synchro-cyclotrons (as well as the necessary computer and other communication equipment necessary for such experiments) were all in existence and usage in 1959 (Wikipedia – “Synchrocyclotron”).

Both the University of Rochester and Columbia University had synchro-cyclotrons in usage at that time.

TCR can also confirm the 2011 CERN / LNGS replication of the 1959 “discovery” of FTL, which it is noted was later discredited (for the reasons already set out in the SD) – (referred to as the “OPERA Experiment” – Wikipedia, Astronomy.com, Profmattstrassler.com)

This is discussed below.

Finally, the epilogue references a quote attributed to Marilyn Monroe.

Our analysis indicates it is actually Marilyn Manson (an American singer who rose to fame in the mid 1990’s) – (Brainyquote.com).

We have not received any evidence from any other source.

.

CONCLUSIONS / FINDINGS

Based on observations / comments detailed above, we have a number of findings in relation to this matter, as detailed:

TCR cannot verify all of the information contained in the SD.

TCR are also NOT able to deny or refute all of the information in the SD.

We are calling on the public for comment and input.

TCR have insufficient information to make a determination in relation to the majority of the allegations and points raised in the SD.

In relation to the "process", TCR accept the logic of the explanation, but there is insufficient information on which to make a determination (It is noted in this regard that the author of the SD appears to be claiming he either does not have knowledge of or does not understand the actual process either).

TCR does accept that all of the equipment to enable the transmission of messages to and from the Voyager 1 and Voyager 2 spacecraft is in place already and that messages are being transmitted (from those spacecraft).

The question is whether these messages (or at least some of them) are being transmitted at a speed Faster Than Light (referred to as PDC in the SD).

TCR are not scientifically qualified to comment with authority on this aspect and they have not sought the advice of a suitably qualified expert at this time.

Our internet research indicates that the "speed of light" has generally been accepted as the maximum speed for any universal particle with a "rest mass" above zero, noting photons (beams of light) have a zero rest mass. Other particles (neutrinos and tachyons) are mentioned also, but it is beyond TCR to comment on these aspects.

It is also noted that not ALL scientists subscribe to the theory of relativity (which is basically an "assumption" that the speed of light is the fastest possible speed – simply because nothing has been observed travelling faster than light).

That was until recently – with the 2011 CERN / LNGS "OPERA EXPERIMENTS" (referenced in the SD) – which discovered neutrinos travelling at a speed faster than light, but were later discredited due to procedural errors.

Other, less creditable sources have also proposed similar experimental results (reference Podkletkov).

TCR find it interesting that there does not appear to be an adequate scientific explanation available at this point in history to explain exactly why photons ONLY travel at the speed of light (i.e. not faster and not slower).

TCR make this comment on the basis that the main argument against FTL appears to be the huge amount of energy allegedly required to accelerate a particle with mass to the speed of light, yet in the case of photons they appear to do it with ease (why and how?).

It would appear that the scientific community do not accept FTL simply because they have not seen in demonstrated.

In reference to the events described in the SD, TCR find there is insufficient evidence presented in the SD or available via our preliminary "public" searches to make a determination on the possibility of PDC involvement in half of the nominated incidents and there are some questionable aspects to a number of others but there are essentially only two (2) events which do raise serious or significant issues.

The events which TCR do NOT consider to involve PDC (despite the assertion in the SD) are:

The Watergate scandal (1975) – TCR consider that "if" PDC were available to President Richard Nixon, then it does not appear logical for Watergate to have occurred (?)

The OPEC Oil Crisis (1973) – TCR consider that while possible (this "event" involved PDC), TCR feel the inclusion of this event is too vague for analysis.

The attempted assassinations of Gerald Ford (1975) – TCR consider (again) that if President Gerald Ford had the advantage of PDC then he would have been on "high alert" following the first attempt on his life and would have been able to better manage the second event.

The Three Mile Island Nuclear Incident (1979) – TCR consider this event could have been averted if PDC were available, but TCR

acknowledge “timing” is the paramount issue.

The attempted assassination of Ronald Reagan (1981) – TCR consider the proposition that a “lone gun / madman” like John Hinckley Jr could effectively be “co-opted” to commit this crime is highly implausible (as detailed above) – but the over-arching premise that the event was a “threat” to Reagan to cease thoughts about public disclosure of PDC / Star Wars is perhaps in keeping with other presidential references in the SD.

The Black Monday Stock Market Crash (1987) – as detailed above (re OPEC Oil Crisis).

The Desert Storm / Shield / Sabre Operations (1990 on) – TCR do not find anything unusual in the SD or public information on these events.

The Y2K Bug (2000) – TCR do not consider this event could be influenced by PDC (if it exists), given the “inevitable” nature (of Earth arriving at the Year 2000) and given the widespread nature of the “problem” (involving too many computers / critical systems etc)

The 9/11 Hijackings (2001) – TCR consider if PDC were available these events were preventable – notwithstanding the explanation in the SD.

The capture and killing of Muammar Gaddafi (2011) – TCR do not find anything unusual in the SD or public information on this event.

The events which TCR accept there may be some questions surrounding in relation to the logic and timing are:

The Bay of Pigs Invasion (1961) – TCR consider there are “way too many” anomalies and inconsistencies in the public information in relation to this event to make any determinations – basically anything seems possible.

The Cuban Missile Crisis (1962) – TCR again consider there is insufficient information available, but acknowledge this event does represent a highly unusual world event – both in terms of the proposal (to use a naval blockade to prevent the transport of the missiles) and the outcome (the complete withdrawal, with no apparent quid pro quo).

The sinking of K129 (1968) – TCR consider the official explanation of this event is less plausible that the possibility of PDC involvement, but acknowledge there is no “hard” evidence of PDC involvement.

The Iranian Hostage Crisis (1979 - 1981) – TCR acknowledge there is no "hard evidence" of PDC involvement in this event, but the Operation Eagle Claw drama is perhaps indicative, as is the timing of the release of the hostages.

The alleged ELE event (1989) – TCR obviously cannot comment with authority on an event that never actually happened, but TCR do acknowledge the strange "coincidence" of the near Earth passing of asteroid 4581 Asclepius and the loss of contact with Phobos 2.

The 1993 WTC bombing (1993), PAL434 bombing (1994) and Bojinka Plot (1995) – TCR again find no "hard evidence" but (and particularly in relation to the foiling of the Bojinka Plot), PDC involvement is plausible.

The Second Iraq War / WMD / capture of Saddam Hussein (2003) – TCR find no "hard evidence" but do acknowledge the unusual nature of the UN resolutions obtained without credible evidence of WMD – the existence and use of PDC would tend to provide an explanation.

The killing of Osama Bin Laden (2011) – TCR do not have sufficient information to make a determination, although we expect such "classified" information is available and would therefore be able to verify or deny the existence of PDC.

The two events which raise questions in relation to logic and timing are:

The assassination of JFK (1963) – TCR consider this event one of the most debated and speculated upon events in world history.

It is not the intention of TCR to refute or "argue" with other evidence / theories previously presented, but it is reasonable to state the majority of people consider there is a high likelihood the event (including the pre-planning and the event itself) did NOT occur in the manner described in the findings of the Warren Commission.

PDC provides a highly plausible explanation, which in a number of ways actually appears to "fit the crime" better than the official explanation.

TCR also note the recent release of the Cambridge News information (in November 2017), which corresponds with the information presented in the SD, noting the SD indicates it was written in 2015 (with subsequent amendments and including reference to this reporting).

The Chappaquiddick Incident (1969) – TCR also note this event has been the subject to much debate and speculation and also noting the "official" version of the incident does not "ring true".

The PDC version offers an alternate explanation, which is (perhaps) more plausible than the official version, but the SD is unable to present "hard evidence" to corroborate the PDC version and it would require the involvement of someone with that knowledge to come forward to establish it as fact.

Finally, TCR are not prepared to comment on the deliberations and "motivations" of the various Presidents and others (as set out in the SD) – on the basis that this would not be considered fair, noting we have attempted contact with those still living but not those deceased.

TCR note the comments in the SD are not particularly "explosive" and there does not appear to be any allegation of "wrong doing" (after all, how many government programs / dealings / decisions are taken under a cloak of confidentiality / secrecy? Usually under the guise of "national security").

.

FOOTNOTE

If you have any information which may assist in proving or disproving the existence of the “program” please contact TCR ASAP.

As a last word, to “Michael” (the author who signed the document over to TCR for use) – TCR hope we have done justice to your document in our presentation, we acknowledge that we have not accepted and agreed with all of your information, but the explanations were well presented and did contain a number of logical points.

We would extend our public invitation to include yourself, should you have any further information or comment to provide.

Thankyou

Barry McGaw JP

.

REFERENCES

We note the information in the Source Document (presented in pages 4 – 138) is NOT referenced in any way.

Other referencing is presented in the report.